

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_108116
Title of the Manuscript:	Pattern of Vegetable Consumption among Pregnant Women Attending Primary Health Care Facilities in Patani Local Government Area of Delta State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, it can help the local authorities to identify the root cause of the problem</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Revise conclusion: only mention the significant results</p> <p>Yes- only mention the power, confidence interval, alpha error for sample size calculation. No need to explain whole formula</p> <p>Revision of statistical methods needed</p> <p>Census mentioned is of 2006, should be replaced with latest data, if available</p> <p>Details of FFQ questionnaire missing in both the results and methods(median score and IQR) Post hoc test for significant value in the results should be applied Detailis of significance of educational background with number of times of consumption missing. Weighted mean is not explained properly in table 2 Scientific names of the vegetables should be mentioned Symbol for currency is missing in the main manuscript(should be replaced)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English language is hard to comprehend, needs revision</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dinesh Kansal
Department, University & Country	MMIMSR, MMDU, India