

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_108848
Title of the Manuscript:	GRAVIMETRIC AND ELECTROCHEMICAL ASSESSMENTS OF THE CORROSION INHIBITION POTENTIAL OF Cola nitida SEED EXTRACT ON MILD STEEL SURFACE IN HCl SOLUTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1) - YES, THE MANUSCRIPT IS IMPORTANT TO THE SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY. THE CONTRIBUTIONS OUTLINED IN THE FINDINGS ARE EXCELLENT.</p> <p>2) - GRAVIMETRIC AND ELECTROCHEMICAL ASSESSMENTS OF CORROSION INHIBITION POTENTIAL OF <i>Cola nitida</i> SEEDS EXTRACT ON MILD STEEL SURFACE IN HYDROCHLORIC ACID (HCl) SOLUTION</p> <p>3) – YES; THE ABSTRACT IS COMPREHENSIVE ENOUGH AND WELL ARTICULATED</p> <p>4) – YES, THEY ARE ALL APPROPRIATELY OUTLINED</p> <p>5) – YES, THE MANUSCRIPT IS, TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE, SCIENTIFICALLY CORRECT</p> <p>6) – YES, THE REFERENCES ARE APLY SANDARDISED AND UP-TO-DATE</p> <p><u>7) - KINDLY EXPUNGE THE RED COLOURED PORTIONS AND ADOPT THE CORRECTED GREEN COLOURED LETTERS, WORDS AND STATEMENTS</u></p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	YES, IT IS	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	THE MANUSCRIPT IS WONDERFULLY RESEARCHED AND THE PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION OF RESULTS WELL ARTICULATED AND EXCELLENTLY OULINED	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aliyu Kangiwa Ibrahim
Department, University & Country	Federal Polytechnic, Nigeria