

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_108524
Title of the Manuscript:	CHEMICAL COMPOSITION, PHYSICAL AND SENSORY PROPERTIES OF SWEET ORANGE PULP- PINEAPPLE POMACE- WHEAT FLOUR BLEND BREADS
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Overall the manuscript is fine and the experiments are described in details and it is not bad for studying purpose but due to presence of gluten in wheat how much this study is valuable in respect to current scenario is questionable. As gluten intolerance is very common due to life style issues, gluten free breads and their fortification or nutrient enrichment is more in research trends. Also in the manuscript it is not mentioned whether the bread is economically feasible or not by every economic community. 2. I have suggestion for one alternative title, i.e., critical evaluation of physical, chemical and sensory quality enhancement of orange-pineapple-pomace wheat bread. 3. Yes, abstract is informative and comprehensive. 4. Proper numbering is required for heading and subheading inside the text. 5. Some changes are needed, like full forms & units are missing in some places. 6. Only 3 recent references (last 5 years) are there other wise rest of them are more than 5 years old even some of them are 10 years or more old papers. So authors are requested include recent work on the topic concerned <p>Page 9 proximate composition section author should explain the observation of increasing moisture content and reduced carbohydrate and protein content. Figure 1 data presentation is not clear. Author should use clear presentation of all the legends. For Table 2 observations need clear explanation Sensory properties are presented in table 6, though table 6 is not there in text. Author should correctly mention the table number. Conclusion section is not written properly. It's need to be more relevant to the text.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Grammatical mistakes are there. Some portions needs corrections has been marked in the attached manuscript.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The manuscript needs major revision before publication	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ranjana Das
Department, University & Country	Jadavpur University, India