

Review Form1.7

JournalName:	AsianJournalofEducationandSocialStudies
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJESS_108388
TitleoftheManuscript:	Schooldiscipline,reward system andadministrativeefficacyofprincipalsinsecondaryschools in UyoLocalGovernmentAreaofAkwalbomState,Nigeria.
TypeoftheArticle	

Review Form1.7

PART1:ReviewComments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes the article is important for scientific community. The title is suitable.</p> <p>The abstract should be reworked to include: Statement of the problem, purpose of research, objectives, methodology, findings, conclusion and recommendations. The abstract should be like a précis of the body of the work. It should be between 250 and 300 words for an article and not more than 500 words for a thesis.</p> <p>Yes the subsections are appropriate. The author should include the sampling techniques subsection and instrument validation.</p> <p>In my opinion, the article is scientifically correct. The references are adequate.</p> <p>e.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The level of English expression is high and suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1) The instrument used for data collection should have been included in the article for better comprehension.</p> <p>2) The concept of reward should be clearly defined.</p> <p>3) It is not clear how the researcher measured the variables.</p> <p>4) Is it reward in the terms of assignment of duties to teachers?</p> <p>5) Reward could be reinforcement of an initiative or a completed assignment.</p> <p>6) Are the teachers' favourites of these selected principals?</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Omotayo Foluke Siwoku-Awi
Department, University & Country	University of Ilorin, Nigeria