

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_109028
Title of the Manuscript:	SEASONAL VARIATION IN PHYSICOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF WATER IN ONITSHA METROPOLIS, SOUTHEASTERN, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript important for scientific community</p> <p>Yes, the title of the article suitable.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract of the article comprehensive.</p> <p>Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>No, the references are not sufficient and recent</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Generally, the paper is well written, however it can be improved with more critical discussion and verification of the result and made comparison with other researchers finding. The results obtained were discussed only at surface level without making any judgement and justification on why such result obtained. • Figure 2 and Tables 4 and 6 were not defined within the text before they appeared in the research. • Equations 2 and 3 were not defined in the text before they appeared in the research. • Column 3 in Tables (3 and 5) are the same as column 1. Thus, it is recommended to remove column 3. • On page 2, I think the temperatures are 22 and 27 °C, not 220 and 270 °C. • There are some sentences related to defining terms in the equations that are repeated, so they must be deleted, and they are marked in yellow in the attached research. • Editing the first capital letter of some words and converting it to a lowercase letter, which is indicated in yellow in the attached search. • More references dated 2017-2023 should support the analysis of the state of the art in the Review section. • At the end of the Introduction add a paragraph on how the rest of the paper is organized and developed, typically a summary on the rest. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussein Kareem Sultan
Department, University & Country	Al-Muthanna University, Iraq