

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_109199
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study on Utilisation Pattern of e-tools (ICT-tools) By Extension Personnel Working in Developmental Departments in Vijayapur District
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for further study to clarify, among others, the reasons in transfer technology the extension workers using WA and they do not used kiosk. The study will be stronger when supplementing quantitative data with qualitative one. The</p> <p>2. The title is suitable, but it is too long and should be shortened to less than 15 words.</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive, but should be shortened to less than 200 words; the objective of the study should be clarified in abstract.</p> <p>4. The manuscript must present conclusion subsection.</p> <p>5. Clarify members of WA Group, whether they are research workers and farmers, or only extension workers. In addition, improvement of manuscript based on comments no. 1 - 4 is required to be a good scientific article.</p> <p>6. Intensive review of scientific articles published in scientific journals is needed for references to be sufficient.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	-	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>None.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prabowo Tjitropranoto
Department, University & Country	IPB University, Indonesia