

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JGEESI_108268</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>LAND USE CHANGE DETECTION AND EVALUATION IN A WATERSHED: THE IMPLICATIONS FOR OFU RIVER WATER QUALITY IN ANYIGBA, KOGI STATE</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Manuscript contain some new and significant information but more are required.</li> <li>- Literature review are not up-to-date.</li> <li>- Emphasize the importance of the study and the gains for society and literature.</li> <li>- Some of the health effects can be discussed in the introduction part.</li> <li>- The authors should provide more literature to support the content of this manuscript.</li> <li>- Compared to other articles in the literature.</li> <li>- The advantages and disadvantages can be discussed throughout the text.</li> <li>- The authors must discuss the differences between their work and previous articles.</li> <li>- Much more explanations and interpretations must be added for the Results.</li> <li>- Conclusion: Include more of your results.</li> <li>- Conclusion: Discuss the applicability of your findings and future study in this field.</li> <li>- Language editing and grammar editing is recommended</li> <li>- Add standard deviation to your results/graphs/tables.</li> <li>- How many replications you performed?</li> <li>- English needs revisions as several sentences sound cryptic and some terms strange.</li> <li>- Conclusions and Future recommendations can be more aggressive. Authors can elaborate on the challenges and also mention the scope of improvement possible in each category. Apart from that, the manuscript is only a compilation of existing findings.</li> <li>-It is recommended to discuss this article for better readability.</li> <li><a href="https://doi.org/10.2166/wqrj.2021.023">https://doi.org/10.2166/wqrj.2021.023</a></li> <li>- Discussions of Future scope, applications, and emerging techniques shall be included.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, manuscript contain some new and significant information but more are required. This paper presents research regarding an interesting topic and the amount of (new) data presented is sufficient. Furthermore, the overall scientific level of the paper is rather high.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes, but the number of references of the last two years is relatively small, It is necessary to provide the latest research progress to improve its scientific value.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>Yes, improving the language/English editing is recommended.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ali Soltani</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Yasouj Azad University, Iran</b>