

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_108569
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Vegetable Oil Mill effluents on Physiological properties of Brassica compestris L. Seeds
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> It is important to the scientific world</p> <p><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> The title of the article is suitable</p> <p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> The abstract need to be revisited. The original one was not in line with science</p> <p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate but it would be okay to combine results and discussion.</p> <p><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ The manuscript is scientifically correct because of its nature and references cited and listed</li> <li>▪ Views of the author are not well coming out.</li> <li>▪ When was the study conducted?</li> <li>▪ Materials and methods not complete</li> <li>▪ Reference need to be 10 years back</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	This is a important manuscript	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Felix Mereka Chipojola</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Malawi</b>