

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_107044
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comprehensive review on Examination of Plant Biochemistry Including Pathways, Enzymes, and Applications
Type of the Article	Review paper

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>It would be better if it was written in a way I suggested</p> <p>No</p> <p>Needs improvement</p> <p>Needs improvement</p> <p>No. Please refer my general comments</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>General Comments</u></p> <p>The authors attempted to review plant biochemistry as well as pathways, enzymes, and applications in this article. The review's points were generally good, but the topics covered in the paper are relatively shallow. I recommend that the authors address the aforementioned and following issues before the paper is accepted for publication in this journal.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It would be better if the title is like: "A Comprehensive review on Examination of Plant Biochemistry: Pathways, Enzymes, and Applications" 2. The abstract seems an introduction. An abstract of a review paper should provide a concise summary of the main points and findings of the paper. While the specific requirements may vary depending on the target journal or publication guidelines. 3. The entire text misses numbers that indicate chapter and section 4. Review papers will be more expressive if they are supported with figures, tables, and charts 5. Some of the references are old. Moreover, the number of references the authors referred to review the paper is really very small. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Belay Tafa Oba
Department, University & Country	Arba Minch University, Ethiopia