

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_107851
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIMALARIAL EFFECTS OF ETHANOLIC LEAF EXTRACTS OF A. indica AND O.gratissimum, AND THEIR HISTOLOGIC EFFECTS ON SOME ORGANS (LIVER, KIDNEY, AND HEART) OF P.berghei INFECTED ALBINO MICE
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The concept of the study is important. However, I have reservations concerning the study design. Authors used different routes of drug/extract administration and then compared the results obtained.</p> <p>An alternative title has been suggested.</p> <p>Authors should rewrite the abstract for better clarity of the work.</p> <p>Author started the manuscript with no subsection and structure and suddenly adopted the structured format towards the end of the manuscript. This should be corrected. No</p> <p>References should be edited to strictly follow journal format. Authors used numbering in the references section and this different from what was presented in the main text.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	No	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Authors did not state how the doses of extracts and chloroquine used in the study were obtained. There is no record of an oral acute toxicity test being carried out on the extracts and an im acute toxicity test carried out on chloroquine.</p> <p>The dose of chloroquine used through the i.m route is high. This is the dose used for oral administration for most malaria studies.</p> <p>As mentioned earlier, the extracts and chloroquine were administered through two different routes of administration which will pharmacologically affect their activity.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Amina Busola Olorukooba</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Abuja, Nigeria</b>