

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_108005
Title of the Manuscript:	CURRENT PREVALENCE OF CIGARETTE SMOKING AMONG ADULTS AT A TERTIARY HOSPITAL IN SOUTH EAST NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes. The manuscript is important for scientific community provided the analysis would have done in a better way.</p> <p>2. No. Because, the interview was conducted for patient in the hospital but not for the any adults. All adults were patients. The title of the study: CURRENT PREVALENCE rate OF CIGARETTE SMOKING AMONG adult patients AT A TERTIARY HOSPITAL IN SOUTH EAST NIGERIA</p> <p>3. yes</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. yes, however, the analysis of the data is not appropriate.</p> <p>6. Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The study is conducted for adult patients smoking habits attending OPD. Whether the patients smoke cigararatte or any other form of tobacco is not clear. How many cigarrates smoke per day? Whether patients attending OPD have any form of disease related to smoking. The analysis part is very poor. The tables prepared not very clear. Among the 66 respondents that are smokers, 58 are males while 8 are females giving a prevalence rate of 87.9% and 12.1% respectively. It is incorrect ststement. It has to be written as follow: Among smokers 87.9% are males and 12.1% are females. The prevalence of smoking among the married respondents is 48(72.7%) while 18(27.3%)of the unmarried respondents smoke. Again it is an incorrect ststement. It should be among the smokers 72,7% are married and 27.3% are unmarried. Hence, all tables and write up have to redone to get clarity of the manuscripts.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The purview of the study is very narrow. It can be expanded as outlined. There should be correct write up in the result section.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pushpanjali Swain
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Health & Family Welfare, India