

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJMAH_108443</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>KNOWLEDGE AND PRACTICE OF BASIC LIFE SUPPORT AMONG HEALTH WORKERS IN THE UPPER DENKYIRA EAST MUNICIPALITY OF GHANA</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes. The manuscript contributes to knowledge about emergency care for the studied population and may provide elements to improve public policies. The research topic is relevant with well-structured development. Congratulations!</b></p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The text is quite extensive, if possible, the Introduction can be summarized. If you wish, some parts of the Introduction can be moved to support the Discussion.</p> <p>Objective: it was not clearly described in the text.</p> <p>Methods: The text does not present the temporal dimension of the research. I recommend including when it was carried out to indicate whether it is current or not.</p> <p>Consider ethical aspects with the inclusion of the description about obtaining consent from participants.</p> <p>In tables that contain abbreviations: describe the meaning in full just below the table to facilitate understanding of the information.</p> <p>Discussion: In addition to identifying weaknesses in knowledge about PCR and the need for training, the author can make Discussions more robust and consistent with descriptions of training planning, since the student's education; the use of the concept "high frequency" and "low dose" in the continuing education of professionals. These aspects were discussed in the 2020 CPR/AHA guideline.</p> <p>References: (9,10,11) Delete: The American Heart Association (AHA) is a non-profit organization. The author used current references; however some seem incomplete, review the descriptions.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Lucia Tobase</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Centro Universitário São Camilo, Brazil</b>