

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_108476
Title of the Manuscript:	Unveiling the Shadows: Towards a More Sustainable Lean Production
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1.The chief aim of lean production is to eliminate waste and strive for quality improvement. Just-in-time approach is followed under lean management.Thus, the opinionnaire article holds good for the scientific community,</p> <p>2. The title can be presented in a more concise, specific and succinct manner: 'Efficacy of Lean Production' or "Lean production -Sustainable Production" or "Unveiling the mystery of Lean Production".</p> <p>3. The abstract presented contains just 98 words along with 05 key words. The impact of lean production on suppliers to customers should have been incorporated. As it is a thematic presentation, more emphasis should have been laid on its contribution to economy.</p> <p>3.The ssub-sections include introduction; the lean production revolution; the downside of lean production; towards a more holistic approach; conclusion.</p> <p>4. A comprehensive approach to reduce waste (Under conclusion) need to be presented in a comprehensive way to make it a strong and robust conclusion.</p> <p>5. Usually journals recommend IMRaD (Introduction, Methods, Results, and Discussion) format for the manuscripts. Kindly follow the same.</p> <p>6. 18 references were cited. Recent citations have been documented (2022). Better follow standardized citation (MLA form).</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Presentation is good, still it can be presented with polished English.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1.A statement on financial or non-financial competing interests may be added. 2. Better provide ethics approval and consent to participate in the study</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	N. Samuel Babu
Department, University & Country	Holy Mary Institute of Technology& Science, India