

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_109208
Title of the Manuscript:	FOREIGN EXCHANGE PRESSURE AND FOREIGN EXCHANGE INTERVENTION IN NIGERIA: A THEORETICAL AND EMPIRICAL REVIEW OF LITERATURE
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The paper provides an examination of Nigeria's policies regarding foreign exchange.</p> <p>Title mentions that the paper provides an empirical review of literature. In fact, it is not. Empirical review involves some kind of methodology like systematic review in response to research question. It can be A THEORETICAL REVIEW or A REVIEW OF LITERATURE.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, but corrections are required in headings.</p> <p>This manuscript is simply a little theoretical contribution only.</p> <p>No, references are not sufficient. Especially, references from 2023 are missing.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Spelling and grammar check is required.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The first paragraph of introduction must contain at least two or three recent and strong references to build the foundation of research. "However, available studies regarding Nigeria are limited (Dayyabu, et. al, 2016; Kayode, et. al., 2021)." A single line is not enough to conduct a new research from this gap. At least one more justification is required for this new paper.</p> <p>"Conceptual Clarification" is not an appropriate heading. It can be like "Conceptual definitions".</p> <p>There is deficiency of most recent references_2023.</p> <p>A definition means to quote a reference from where it has been taken. This is missing in definition of exchange intervention.</p> <p>Article must provide analysis in the form of table or linkages (A table or graph can be formed from policies mentioned). Otherwise, it is just looking like a theoretical essay,</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anam Fazal
Department, University & Country	Lahore Garrison University, Pakistan