

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_108796
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic relationship studies for seed yield and other important agronomic traits in F2:3 populations of cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L.)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the subject of "genetic relationship studies for seed yield and other important agronomic traits in F2:3 populations of cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L.)" is very important and its various aspects should be investigated in different fields.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the article is appropriate.</p> <p>3. The abstract is compiled correctly and in principle.</p> <p>4. The scientific structure is correct.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6. The reference used is sufficient, but it is better to use more up-to-date sources.</p> <p>In general, the structure of the article is acceptable, but there was a problem that the reference was not up-to-date, which must be fixed by the author so that the article is accepted and presented and accepted scientifically.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of the English language of the article is suitable for scientific communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammad Reza Zargaran Khouzani
Department, University & Country	Khuzestan University of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources, Iran