

# **Influence of Organic and Inorganic Nutrient Sources on the Yield and Uptake of Major Nutrients in Soybean**

## **Abstract**

A field experiment was conducted to study the effect of different organic sources of nitrogen with inorganic fertilizers on crop yield, yield attributes and uptake of major plant nutrients in the soya bean-maize cropping system. The highest soybean yield of 12.9qt<sup>-1</sup> was obtained with the application of 75% of the recommended dose of nitrogen along with 100% recommended dose of phosphorus (P) & potassium (K) through inorganic fertilizers + 25% recommended dose of nitrogen through vermicompost and the lowest values of all the parameters were obtained from the control treatment. The uptake of nitrogen (N), P & K increased with treatments that received integrated use of organic manures in combination with the recommended dose of nutrients.

**Keywords:** Soybean, organic and inorganic fertilizers, yield, uptake nutrient management practices, nutrient uptake, productivity, soil fertility

## **Introduction**

“Soybean (*Glycine max*(L) Merrill ) is gaining importance as a remunerative crop in different parts of India. It is one of the most important oilseed crops in the world. In crop production, nutrient availability from manure has been recognized for many centuries. Before the introduction of inorganic fertilizers, manure was the primary source of nutrients for crop production. Recently there has been a renewed interest in the use of farmyard manure. This interest is attributed to the necessity of maintaining sustainable agricultural production while preserving the environment. For better utilization of resources and to produce crops with less

expenditure, integrated nutrient management (INM) is the best approach. In this approach all the possible sources of plant nutrients are applied based on economic considerations and the balance required for the crop is supplemented with chemical fertilizers. The combined use of organic and inorganic sources of plant nutrients not only pushes the production and profitability of field crops but also helps in maintaining the permanent fertility status of the soil. It is highly desirable to make massive efforts to adopt organic sources as a source of plant nutrients as well as soil productivity in developing countries. In India, there is sufficient availability of organic manures like animal dung manure (791.6 mt), crop residues (603.5 mt), green manure (4.50 m ha), rural compost (148.3 mt), city compost (12.2 mt) and biofertilizer(0.41 mt)and these may become a good substitute of chemical fertilizers to maintain the soil physicochemical and biological properties”. (Bhattacharya and Chakraborty 2005) “The incorporation of organic manures improves the nutrient content and uptake. Although organic manures contain plant nutrients in small quantities as compared to fertilizer, the presence of growth-promoting principles like enzymes and hormones besides plant materials makes them essential for the improvement of soil fertility and productivity. Integrated use of organic and inorganics through farmyard manure (FYM), vermicompost (VC) and poultry manure (PM) improved the organic carbon and cation exchange capacity. Available N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>O and S status of soil increased significantly with organic sources of nutrients over their initial” (Sharma et al. 2001). Pandey et al. (2006) reported that “the application of manures, irrespective of sources and rates recorded significantly higher soil organic carbon, N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O compared to control”. Kadam et al. (2010) reported that “in the harvest of soybeans, the soil nutrient status was influenced by the application of organic nitrogen sources along with fulvic acid sprays. This is ascribed to the presence of soybean crop which enhances the available N status of soil by nodulation. The soil available N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O buildup was higher in all the treatments over initial”. Therefore, the study was

conducted to find out the suitable combination of organic and inorganic fertilizers to obtain better yield and its influence on nutrient uptake in soybeans.

## **Materials and methods**

### **Location and existing soil Nutrient status**

The experiment was carried out at the crop research farm of AAIDU Allahabad. The soil was sandy loam in texture, medium in available N, (165.82 Kg/ha), high in available  $P_2O_5$  (48.06 Kg/ha), fairly rich in available in K (242.20 Kg/ha), with neutral soil reaction (pH 7.38) and was non-saline ( $EC = 0.101 \text{ dsm}^{-1}$ ).

### **Experiment Details**

The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design (RCBD), comprising eight treatments and three replications. As presented in Table 1.

“In the case of the recommended dose of fertiliser (RDF), Nitrogen was applied in two splits in the form of Urea while entire doses of P and K were applied as basal in the form of single super phosphate and muriate of potash, respectively. Soil samples were collected before sowing and after harvesting of crops. After harvesting soybean crop seed samples were analysed for oil content and NPK uptake with standard procedures” (Jackson, 1973). In organic nutrient management practices, - 100% RDN is given through  $1/3^{\text{rd}}$  FYM,  $1/3^{\text{rd}}$  VC and  $1/3^{\text{rd}}$  PM, in integrated nutrient management practices -50% recommended dose of nitrogen (RDN) is given through fertilizers and 50% RDN through  $1/3^{\text{rd}}$  FYM,  $1/3^{\text{rd}}$  VC and  $1/3^{\text{rd}}$  PM and in chemical nutrient management practices -100% recommended chemical fertilizers only were added. These manures were applied based on the nitrogen equivalent basis and nutrient requirement of each crop. The phosphorus requirement of the plants was supplemented through rock phosphate in

organic nutrient management practices. The nutrient composition of FYM, vermicompost and poultry manure was 0.5-0.18 -0.53, 1.2-0.70-0.94 and 1.9-0.85-1.02 % N, P and K, respectively. The organic manures according to the treatment details were applied two weeks before the sowing of crops for both organic and integrated nutrient management (INM) plots. “The collected samples were analysed for physical and chemical properties following standard procedures. The data collected from the experimental field and laboratory analysis were subjected to statistical analysis. Standard statistical methods were used” (Gomez and Gomez., 1984).

## **Results**

### **Effect of treatments on Growth and yield Parameters of Soyabean**

The number of pods per plant is an important parameter that governs the yield of a crop. The number of pods per plant was recorded at 60 days after seeding (DAS). The highest number (72 pods/plant) was recorded in T<sub>7</sub> (75% RDFN through inorganic fertilizers+25% RDFN through VC (4.74kg/ha) treatment at 60 DAS (Table 2 and Fig.1). Increased number of pods [per plant were noticed with the application of vermicompost @ 4.74 q/ha to supply 25% RDFN. Seed weight is an important attribute, which has a direct influence on the yield. The highest thousand seed weight was found in T<sub>7</sub> treatment (Table 2 and Fig 1). The seed yield for different treatments ranged between 8.22 to 12.9 q/ha. T<sub>7</sub> recorded the highest seed yield per hectare at 12.9q/ha. It was 56.93% higher than the control. The next best treatment was T<sub>8</sub>, i.e., 75% RDFN through inorganic fertilizers + 25% RDFN through PM (244 Kg/ha) (Table 2, Fig 1).

### **Effect of Treatments on Nutrient Uptake in Soyabean**

N uptake by crop was significantly influenced by different treatments. Uptake of the crop increased with crop growth and was highest at 75 DAS. The highest N uptake (4.99 Kg/ha) was recorded in T<sub>7</sub> treatment and was followed by T<sub>8</sub> Treatment at 25 DAS. The control recorded the lowest uptake of N. The highest N uptake (22.2 Kg/ha) was recorded in T<sub>7</sub> treatment and was followed by T<sub>8</sub> treatment at 50 DAS. The highest N uptake (51.5 Kg/ha) was recorded in T<sub>7</sub> treatment and was followed by (44.8 kg/ha) T<sub>8</sub> treatment at 75DAS (Table 3 and Fig 2). The highest P uptake (0.91kg/ha) was recorded in T<sub>7</sub> treatment followed by (0.90kg/ha) T<sub>8</sub> treatment at 25 DAS. The highest P uptake (9.60kg/ha) was recorded in treatment T<sub>7</sub> at 50 DAS. The highest P uptake (16.30kg/ha) was recorded in T<sub>7</sub> treatment followed by T<sub>8</sub> treatment (11.70kg/ha) at 75 DAS. The control recorded the lowest at all stages (Table 4 and Fig.3. K uptake was estimated at 25DAS, 50DAS and 75 DAS. The highest K uptake (3.65kg/ha) was recorded in T<sub>7</sub> at 25DAS. The highest K uptake of 21.3kg/ha and 45.6kg/ha was recorded in T<sub>7</sub> treatment at 50DAS and 75 DAS respectively. The lowest uptake of K at all the stages was recorded in the control treatment. (Table 5 and Fig 4.)

## **Discussion**

The number of pods per plant height of soybean varied significantly with different treatment combinations of inorganic and organic fertilizers (Table 2). “The highest number of pods were recorded with the treatment comprising RDFN and vermicompost. On the other hand, a combination of fertilizers applied to plots increased 1,000 seed weight and over control”. Patil and Udmale (2016). Different combinations of inorganic and organic fertilizers showed significant variations in seed yield (Table 2). “The results revealed that seed yield increased significantly with inorganic and organic fertilizers combination treatment over control. Among the combinations, Treatment T<sub>7</sub> showed maximum seed yield followed by T<sub>8</sub> than other combinations. This might be due to the optimum and continuous supply and availability of

nutrients through organic sources which help in better uptake of nutrients that ultimately enhance cell division and thereby increase all the growth attributes". Prasad et al. (2010). The result revealed that treatments T<sub>7</sub>, T<sub>6</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> produced 56.93%, 16.54% and 11.19% higher yields over control (T<sub>0</sub>). The maximum grain yield might be attributed to the maximum dry matter weight per plant, number of pods per plant, seeds per plant and 1,000-seed weight. This might be due to an adequate supply of nutrient elements at the right time from organic and inorganic sources, which helped in optimum dry matter partitioning from the source to sink during the reproductive stage of the Soybean crop consequently increasing the seed yield of soybean. Increased nutrient uptake and assimilation by crop plants at the reproductive stage enhanced the thousand seed weight. Similar findings were reported by Maheshbabu et al. (2008). The result corroborates with the findings of Patwary (2003) and Yamika et al. (2012). The uptake of N, P and K by the soybean was influenced by the different integrated nutrient management treatments. The plant height and crop dry matter production might have resulted in a higher uptake of nitrogen). Better crop growth conditions favoured the uptake of P. This finding is also supported by Babhulkar et al. (2000) Increased uptake of potassium might be due to better crop dry matter production and crop growth. The present report is consistent with the findings of Kadam et al. (2010). The higher uptake of N, P and K is attributed to the continuous and steady supply of available nutrients throughout the crop growth period because of the application of organic and inorganic inputs.

## **Conclusion**

Due to imminent concerns regarding the effects of inorganic fertilizers and of course, the slow returns from organic nutrients amidst a galloping population and retreating land holdings, the combination of organic and inorganic fertilizers is the most sensible agri-input approach. However, studies are required in different scenarios at micro levels to determine optimal

combination setups of chemical and organic sources in different crops. We cannot have the same yardstick for a crop in all regions. Therefore, this study was conducted in Kashmir Valley in the Shalimar Soyabean-1 variety to study optimal fertilizer combinations. It was observed that if we replace 25% of the recommended dose of chemical fertilizers with 474kg/ha vermicompost, there is a gain of approximately 39% in grain yield over the recommended chemical fertilizer dose in soybean crop.

## References

- Babhulkar, P.S., Badole, W.P., Balapnde, S.S and Kar, D. 2000.Effect of sulphur and zinc on yield, quality and nutrient uptake by safflower in versitol.*J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.* 48(3): 541-543.
- Bhattacharya, L. S. and Chakraborty, K. H., 2005, Current status of organic farming. *Indian J. Fert.*, 1(9) : 111-123.
- Dikshit, P.R. and Khatik, S.K. 2008. Influence of organic manures in combination with chemical fertilizers on seed yield. *LegumeRes.*25(1): 53-56.
- Das, A., Patel, D. P., Munda, G. C. and Ghosh, D. K., 2010, Effect of organic and inorganic sources of nutrients on yield, nutrient uptake and soil fertility of maize (*Zea mays*)-mustard (*Brassica campestris*) cropping systems. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, 80(1) : 85-88
- Falodun, E.J. and Osaigbovo, A.U. 2010. The effect of packaged organic and inorganic fertilizers on the growth and yield ofsoyabean (*Glycine max*).*African J. Agric.* 25(1): 34-37
- JACKSON.M.L(2007) Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall of India, New Delhi.

Kadam, S. R., Amrustsagar, V. M. and Deshpande, A. W., 2010, Influence of organic nitrogen sources with fulvic acid spray on yield and nutrient uptake of soybean on Inceptisol. *J. Soils Crops*, 20 (1): 58-63.

Maheshbabu, H.M., Hunje, R. and Patil, N.K. 2008. Effect of organic manures on plant growth, seed yield and quality of soybean. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.* 21 (2): 219-221.

Prasad, J., Kadamkar, S., Kumar, R. and Mishra, B., 2010, Influence of integrated nutrient management on yield and soil properties in maize-wheat cropping systems in an Alfisol of Jharkhand. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.*, 58 (2) 200-204.

Pandey, A. K., Gopinath, K. A., Bhattacharya, P., Hooda, K. S., Sushil, S. N., Kundu, S., Selvakumar, G. and Gupta, H. S., 2006, Effect of source and rate of organic manures on yield attributes, pod yield and economics of organic garden pea (*Pisum sativum*) in Northwestern Himalaya. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, 76(4) : 230-234.

Patwary, M.O.F. 2003. Effect of sulphur and phosphorus on the yield, yield attributes and quality of soybean cv. Shohag (PB-1). M.S. Thesis. Dept of Agric. Chemistry. Bangladesh Agricultural University, Bangladesh.

Patel, C. K., Chaudhari, P. P., Patel, R. W. and Patel, N. H., 2010, Integrated nutrients management in potato based cropping systems in North Gujarath. *Potato J.*, 37(1-2) : 68-70.

Ramesh, P., Panwar, N. R., Singh, A. B. and Ramana, S., 2008, Effect of organic manures and productivity, nutrient uptake and soil fertility of maize (*Zea mays*)-linseed (*Linum usitatissimum*) cropping system. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, 78(4) : 35-354.

Sharma, M. P., Balf, S. V. and Gupta, D. K., 2001, Soil fertility and productivity of rice-wheat cropping system in an Inceptisol as influenced by integrated nutrient management. *Indian J. Agril. Sci.*, 71 (2) : 82-86.

Singh A. B., Saha, J. K. and Gosh, P. K., 2008, Effect of nutrient management practices on soybean (*Glycine max*)-chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) cropping systems for improving seed yield, quality and soil biological health under rainfed condition. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, 78(6) : 485-489.

Yamika, W.S.D. and Ikawati, K.R. 2012. Combination inorganic and organic fertilizer increased yield production of soybean. *American-Eurasian J. Sustainable Agric.* 6(1): 14-17.

Patil, H.M. and K.B. Udmale. 2016. Response of different organic inputs on growth and yield of Soybean on Inceptisol. *Scholarly J. Agril.Sci.*6(5): 139-144.

Anonymous, 2016. Ministry of Agriculture Govt. of India. The Soybean Processor Association of India (SOPA).

Falodun, E.J., J.O. Ehigiator. and S.A. Ogedegbe. 2015. Growth and Yield Response of Soybean (*Glycine max* Merr.) to Organic and Inorganic Fertilizer in Edo Rainforest of Nigeria. *American J. Plant Sci.* 6: 3293-3297.

FAO STAT. 2014. Available at <http://faostat.fao.org/>.

Javed, S. and A. Panwar. 2013. Effect of biofertilizer, vermicompost and chemical fertilizer on different biochemical parameters of *Glycine max* and *Vigna mungo*. *Recent Res. Sci. Technol.*5: 40-44.

Sunilkumar, K., Gowda, A., Nagaraj, R., Veeranagappa, P. Jayaprakash, R. and Patil, S. 2013. Influence of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield, nutrient uptake and economics of vegetable soybean. *Intern. J. For. Crop Impro.*, 4(1): 24-27.

Khaim, S., M.A.H. Chowdhury and B.K. Saha. 2013. Organic and inorganic fertilization on the yield and quality of soybean. *J. Bangladesh Agril. Univ.* 11(1): 23-28.

Devi, K. N., Singh, T. B., Athokpam, H. S., Singh, N. B. and Shamurailatpam, D. 2013. Influence of inorganic, biological and organic manures on nodulation and yield of soybean (*Glycine max* Merrill L.) and soil properties. *Austra. J. Crop Sci.*, 7(9):1407-1415.

Sunilkumar, K., Gowda, A., Nagaraj, R., Veeranagappa, P. Jayaprakash, R. and Patil, S. 2013. Influence of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield, nutrient uptake and economics of vegetable soybean. *Intern. J. For. Crop Impro.*, 4(1): 24-27.

Kumawat, N. 2011. Effect of pigeonpea + blackgram intercropping and integrated nutrition on growth, yield and quality of rainfed pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.]. PhD. Thesis, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi (UP).

Kumawat, N., Sharma, O. P., Kumar, R. and Kumari, A. 2010. Yield and yield attributes of mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek] as affected by organic manures, PSB and phosphorus fertilization. *Environ Ecol.*, 28(1A): 332-335

Thenua, O. V. S., Singh, K. and Shivakumar, B. G. 2010. Studies on Rhizobium inoculation and potassium levels on the performance of soybean (*Glycine max* L.). *Ann. Agric. Res.*, 31(1):1-4.

Thenua, O. V. S., Singh, K. and Shivakumar, B. G. 2010. Studies on Rhizobium inoculation and potassium levels on the performance of soybean (*Glycine max* L.). *Ann. Agric. Res.*, 31(1):1-4

**Table 1. Experiment details**

| <b>Treatments</b>  | <b>Growth and yield Parameters to be recorded</b>  | <b>Nutrient Parameters to be recorded (Kg/ha)</b>               |
|--|--|---|
| T <sub>1</sub> Control (0:0:0)   | Pods/Plant 60DAS, 1000 seed weight (g), Seed yield (q/ha), % yield increase over control | Nitrogen uptake at 25, 50 and 75 Days after Sowing (DAS) each   |
| T <sub>2</sub> RDF(40:50:40)kg/ha  |  |   |
| T <sub>3</sub> 50%RDFN through inorganic fertilizers +50%RDFN through FYM <sup>1</sup> (4878kg/ha) |  |   |
| T <sub>4</sub> 50%RDFN through inorganic fertilizers +50%RDFN through VC <sup>2</sup> (948kg/ha)   |  | Phosphorus uptake at 25, 50 and 75 Days after Sowing (DAS) each |
| T <sub>5</sub> 50%RDFN through inorganic fertilizers +50%RDFN through PM <sup>3</sup> (948kg/ha)   |  | Phosphorus uptake at 25, 50 and 75 Days after Sowing (DAS) each |
| T <sub>6</sub> 75%RDFN through inorganic fertilizers +25%RDFN through FYM (2439kg/ha)              |  |   |
| T <sub>7</sub> 75%RDFN through inorganic fertilizers +25%RDFN through VC (474kg/ha)                |  |   |

T<sub>8</sub> 75%RDFN through inorganic  
fertilizers +25%RDFN through  
PM (244kg/ha)

**Table 2. Effect of treatments on yield, yield attributes of Soybean-Maize cropping system.**

| Treatments   | Pods/Plant<br>60DAS | 1000 seed<br>weight (g) | Seed<br>yield<br>(q/ha) | % increase<br>over control |
|--|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| T <sub>1</sub> Control (0:0:0)   | 52                  | 124.65                  | 8.22                    |                            |
| T <sub>2</sub> RDF(40:50:40)kg/ha  | 60                  | 138.28                  | <b>9.22</b>             | 12.16                      |
| T <sub>3</sub> 50%RDFN through inorganic<br>fertilizers +50%RDFN through FYM <sup>1</sup><br>(4878kg/ha) | 64                  | 140.86                  | 8.78                    | 6.81                       |
| T <sub>4</sub> 50%RDFN through inorganic<br>fertilizers +50%RDFN through VC <sup>2</sup><br>(948kg/ha)   | 68                  | 143.56                  | 9.14                    | 11.19                      |
| T <sub>5</sub> 50%RDFN through inorganic<br>fertilizers +50%RDFN through PM <sup>3</sup><br>(948kg/ha)   | 66                  | 141.96                  | 8.97                    | 9.12                       |
| T <sub>6</sub> 75%RDFN through inorganic   | 68                  | 148.56                  | 9.58                    | 16.54                      |

|  |    |        |             |       |
|--|----|--------|-------------|-------|
| fertilizers +25%RDFN through FYM         |    |        |             |       |
| (2439kg/ha)                              |    |        |             |       |
| T <sub>7</sub> 75%RDFN through inorganic | 72 | 155.39 | <b>12.9</b> | 56.93 |
| fertilizers +25%RDFN through VC          |    |        |             |       |
| (474kg/ha)                               |    |        |             |       |
| T <sub>8</sub> 75%RDFN through inorganic | 68 | 152.53 | 9.68        | 7.76  |
| fertilizers +25%RDFN through PM          |    |        |             |       |
| (244kg/ha)                               |    |        |             |       |
| CD(p=0.05)                               | NS | 3.78   | 1.91        |       |

RDFN= Recommended dose of fertilizer

nitrogen

**Table 3. Effect of treatments on N uptake (kg/ha) by soybean in Soybean-Maize cropping system.**

| Treatments                     | 25 DAS* | 50DAS* | 75DAS* |
|--------------------------------|---------|--------|--------|
| T <sub>1</sub> Control (0:0:0) | 4.13    | 15.6   | 28.0   |

|   |      |      |      |
|---|------|------|------|
| T <sub>2</sub> RDF(40:50:40)kg/ha   | 4.22 | 16.5 | 27.2 |
| T 50%RDFN through inorganic fertilizers<br>+50%RDFN through FYM <sup>1</sup> (4878kg/ha)            | 4.38 | 16.9 | 29.2 |
| T <sub>4</sub> 50%RDFN through inorganic fertilizers<br>+50%RDFN through VC <sup>2</sup> (948kg/ha) | 4.77 | 18.4 | 38.3 |
| T <sub>5</sub> 50%RDFN through inorganic fertilizers<br>+50%RDFN through PM <sup>3</sup> (948kg/ha) | 4.67 | 17.2 | 37.4 |
| T <sub>6</sub> 75%RDFN through inorganic fertilizers<br>+25%RDFN through FYM (2439kg/ha)            | 4.75 | 18.8 | 41.2 |
| T <sub>7</sub> 75%RDFN through inorganic fertilizers<br>+25%RDFN through VC (474kg/ha)              | 4.99 | 22.2 | 51.5 |
| T <sub>8</sub> 75%RDFN through inorganic fertilizers<br>+25%RDFN through PM (244kg/ha)              | 4.89 | 21.7 | 44.8 |
| CD(p=0.05)  | 0.66 | 0.34 | 1.89 |

**Table 4. Effect of treatments on P uptake (kg/ha) by soybean in Soybean-Maize cropping system.**

| Treatments   | 25 DAS* | 50DAS* | 75DAS |
|--|---------|--------|-------|
|  |         |        | *     |
| Control (0:0:0)  | 0.50    | 3.30   | 5.96  |
| T <sub>1</sub>   |         |        |       |
| RDF(40:50:40)kg/ha   | 0.51    | 3.12   | 6.20  |
| T <sub>2</sub>   |         |        |       |
| 50%RDFN through inorganic fertilizers<br>+50%RDFN through FYM <sup>1</sup> (4878kg/ha) | 0.52    | 5.26   | 8.06  |
| T <sub>3</sub>   |         |        |       |
| 50%RDFN through inorganic fertilizers<br>+50%RDFN through VC <sup>2</sup> (948kg/ha)   | 0.55    | 5.31   | 10.60 |
| T <sub>4</sub>   |         |        |       |
| 50%RDFN through inorganic fertilizers<br>+50%RDFN through PM <sup>3</sup> (948kg/ha)   | 0.55    | 5.30   | 8.18  |
| T <sub>5</sub>   |         |        |       |
| 75%RDFN through inorganic fertilizers<br>+25%RDFN through FYM (2439kg/ha)              | 0.57    | 5.50   | 11.20 |
| T <sub>6</sub>   |         |        |       |


|                                       |      |      |       |
|---------------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| 75%RDFN through inorganic fertilizers | 0.91 | 9.60 | 16.30 |
| +25%RDFN through VC (474kg/ha)        |      |      |       |
| T <sub>7</sub>                        |      |      |       |
| 75%RDFN through inorganic fertilizers | 0.90 | 7.73 | 11.70 |
| +25%RDFN through PM (244kg/ha)        |      |      |       |
| T <sub>8</sub>                        |      |      |       |
| CD(p=0.05)                            | 0.01 | 0.11 | 0.23  |

**Table 5. Effect of treatments on K uptake (kg/ha) by soybean in Soybean-Maize cropping system.**


| Treatments   | 25 DAS* | 50DAS* | 75DAS |
|--|---------|--------|-------|
|  |         |        | *     |
| T <sub>1</sub> Control (0:0:0)                       | 2.02    | 15.0   | 23.7  |
| T <sub>2</sub> RDF(40:50:40)kg/ha                    | 2.11    | 15.3   | 24.8  |
| T <sub>3</sub> 50%RDFN through inorganic fertilizers | 2.24    | 16.9   | 25.9  |

|  |      |      |      |
|--|------|------|------|
| +50%RDFN through FYM <sup>1</sup> (4878kg/ha)        |      |      |      |
| T <sub>4</sub> 50%RDFN through inorganic fertilizers | 2.74 | 17.7 | 33.6 |
| +50%RDFN through VC <sup>2</sup> (948kg/ha)          |      |      |      |
| T <sub>5</sub> 50%RDFN through inorganic fertilizers | 2.52 | 17.5 | 26.5 |
| +50%RDFN through PM <sup>3</sup> (948kg/ha)          |      |      |      |
| T <sub>6</sub> 75%RDFN through inorganic fertilizers | 3.04 | 18.7 | 35.5 |
| +25%RDFN through FYM (2439kg/ha)                     |      |      |      |
| T <sub>7</sub> 75%RDFN through inorganic fertilizers | 3.65 | 21.3 | 45.6 |
| +25%RDFN through VC (474kg/ha)                       |      |      |      |
| T <sub>8</sub> 75%RDFN through inorganic fertilizers | 3.21 | 21.0 | 45.2 |
| +25%RDFN through PM (244kg/ha)                       |      |      |      |
| CD(p=0.05)   | 0.05 | 0.61 | 0.90 |


**Fig. 1. Graphical representation of the effect of treatments on yield, yield attributes of Soybean-Maize cropping system.**



**Fig 2. Graphical representation of the effect of treatments on N uptake (kg/ha) by soybean in Soybean-Maize cropping system.**



**Fig 3. Graphical representation of the effect of treatments on P uptake (kg/ha) by soybean in Soybean-Maize cropping system.**



**Fig. 4. Graphical representation of the effect of treatments on K uptake (kg/ha) by soybean in Soybean-Maize cropping system.**

