

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_109227
Title of the Manuscript:	Climate Change Knowledge Assessment for Farmers in Bundelkhand, Uttar Pradesh: Test Development and Validation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. It would be even better if the results of the difficulty of each category of questions were discussed.</li> <li>2. Suitable.</li> <li>3. The abstract should include a summary of the results of the topics which have difficulty index and discrimination power index as well as recommendation.</li> <li>4. Citing two authors, use the same format, use the word "and" or use "&amp;" symbol</li> <li>5. This paper specified the difficulty score range from 0.40-0.80. There should be reliable references because the difficulty value use for most social science test is less than 0.20 (score range 0.20-0.80).</li> <li>6. There are adequate references about measurement methods. References should be added to the discussion of the test difficulty results.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	appropriate	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	-	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pilaiwan Prapruit
Department, University & Country	Prince of Songkla University, Thailand