

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_109318
Title of the Manuscript:	The Role of Innovation Spaces towards the Youths Engagement in Entrepreneurship; a Case of Innovation Spaces in the Iringa Region
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is relevant and important. It discusses youth entrepreneurship opportunities.</p> <p>Yes. The title could be shorter.</p> <p>The abstract contains all the necessary elements: aim, methods, results, and conclusions</p> <p>Yes, the structure is logical and sequential. The manuscript is easy to be read.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically constructed.</p> <p>The references are well selected. The list of references shows both – classical and modern publications. Geographical distribution of the references is well thought, too: western and eastern work are cited.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English is ok.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Thanks to the author(s) for the interesting and well-written manuscript. It was a pleasure to read it.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jelena Zascerinska</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Centre for Education and Innovation Research, Latvia</b>