

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_109318
Title of the Manuscript:	The Role of Innovation Spaces towards the Youths Engagement in Entrepreneurship; a Case of Innovation Spaces in the Iringa Region
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes, however the manuscript is flawed. Please see the comments made in the manuscript.</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Not clear, vague.</b></p> <p><b>No please see comments on the manuscript.</b></p> <p><b>Yes, however serious corrections have to be made.</b></p> <p><b>No, the author keeps on citing the same source over and over again e.g., Cunningham &amp; Cunningham, 2016</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Very poor grammar. I tried to edit it however it was difficult for me to comprehend the paper since it is not readable.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>You must get a language editor to check grammar for you.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dickson Mdhlose</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Electronic Media Institute of South Africa, South Africa</b>