

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_109154
Title of the Manuscript:	LIVELIHOOD CHANGES OF CHAR (Dry land) WOMEN DUE TO INVOLVEMENT IN ACTIVITIES OF THE CHAR LIVELIHOOD PROGRAM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Can improve.</p> <p>Can improve.</p> <p>Yes, I do.</p> <p>Can improve.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, it is.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article has some shortcomings that need to be addressed in order to reach the standards required for publication in the American Economic Review. The structure of the article could be improved in terms of clarity and conciseness. A notable shortcoming was the absence of a citation of the contributions and the structure of the article in the introduction, which is fundamental for placing the reader in the context of the research. In order to better support its findings, the study would benefit from a more in-depth literature review, providing a more solid backdrop for its results.</p> <p>It is essential to expand the literature review in order to properly position the study within the existing body of research. In addition, it would be valuable to include a more detailed discussion of the practical and policy implications of the results found. A more in-depth analysis of these implications could highlight the relevance and applicability of the results in the real world.</p> <p>To ensure a full understanding of the study, it is crucial to provide more detail on the methodological limitations found. This would allow readers to assess the robustness of the results presented. In addition, it would be useful to discuss possible directions for future research, based on the results obtained. This section could offer valuable insights for researchers interested in expanding or improving existing work.</p> <p>In addition to content-related issues, there are formatting problems that need to be resolved. It is essential to standardize the references, opting for a consistent style, either by numbering or by numbering and author. In addition, Equation (1) needs to be rewritten due to the subscripts, in the interests of clarity. The columns in Table 1 should be adjusted to ensure that all words are legible, thus improving the visual presentation of the data.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>To improve international accessibility, it is recommended to include the exchange rate in relation to the US dollar at the time of the survey, allowing readers from other countries to understand the monetary values mentioned. In addition, the absence of the heteroscedasticity test represents a gap in the statistical analysis, which needs to be addressed to strengthen the robustness of the results.</p> <p>In summary, it is imperative to improve the writing and structure of the article to make it easier to read and understand. A comprehensive literature review, a deeper analysis of practical implications, a detailed discussion of limitations and a careful exploration of future research directions are essential to strengthen this work. In addition, formatting and statistical analysis issues should be corrected to ensure the overall quality of the article.</p> <p>The study addresses a relevant and little-explored topic: the change in women's livelihoods in drylands (Char) due to participation in the Char Livelihoods Program. The methodology is well defined, including sample selection, data collection and statistical analysis. The study uses DFID's sustainable livelihoods framework, a widely accepted approach to analyzing livelihoods.</p>	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wellington Ribeiro Justo
Department, University & Country	UFPE, Brazil