

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_108906
Title of the Manuscript:	To assessment the interaction effect of nutrient sources on growth, yield and quality of tomato (<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, It is a manuscript that brings valuable information to the scientific community because it presents results that impact sustainable agriculture production, through the use of beneficial microorganisms for plant nutrition.</p> <p>I suggest the following title: Effect of the interaction of nutrient sources on the growth, yield and quality of tomato (<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> L.)</p> <p>Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive; However, it is necessary for the author to make the indicated corrections to the manuscript.</p> <p>Yes, it is appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, I believe the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>Many of the authors in the REFERENCES section are not cited in the manuscript; those authors should be cited in the manuscript or removed from the REFERENCES section. It is suggested increase the number of recent references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The manuscript presents relevant information and so it can accepted for publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Moises Ramirez Meraz
Department, University & Country	INIFAP, Mexico