

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_108906
Title of the Manuscript:	To assessment the interaction effect of nutrient sources on growth, yield and quality of tomato (<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1-It is very important because this work evaluate the effect of different combination of nutrient sources of inorganic fertilizers and biofertilizers on growth, yield and quality of tomato (<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> L.). However, the authors didn't explain the novelty of their results</p> <p>2-No, suggested title: Effect of nutrient sources on growth, yield and quality of tomato (<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> L.)</p> <p>3-Abstract should contain some methodology and conclusion</p> <p>4-Yes, although in the methodology section they should add statistical analysis</p> <p>5-Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6-No, the references aren't sufficient and recent</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No, the article has spelling and grammar errors.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I appreciate the invitation to participate as a reviewer of this document, which I found very interesting. However, with the aim of improving its quality, I allow myself to make some comments. Outdated bibliography, for example: In the world it is second in importance after the potato, but it tops the list of processed vegetables (Chaudhary, 1996). The introduction should end with what is going to be done and why. In methodology you must make a description explaining how the analysis of the results will be carried out. Only descriptive statistics are used. In the case of comparison between several treatments, hypothesis tests can be used to find out if there really are significant differences between the groups. Approval titles of tables and figures It contains several spelling and formatting mistakes.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Damianys Almenares López
Department, University & Country	Universidad Popular de la Chontalpa, México