

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JGEESI_108644 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Are natural disasters really all natural ? |
| Type of the Article | Review Article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>It could be but in this form it is not possible to state as the research methods are not mentioned. Therefore, it is impossible to state that the paper is scientifically sound.</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>No, it is not. There is no mention of the aim of the study and the methods applied.</p> <p>Yes, but a brief introduction to the paper's structure would be needed to put in the introduction section.</p> <p>It is impossible to state. I suppose that the study was based on the literature review but there is no mention of the method used to choose the literature for the review.</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>Yes, it is.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>The revision of the abstract and a paragraph on the method applied to choose the literature are a must to make the paper a scientific one.</p> | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Barbara Wieliczko |
| Department, University & Country | Institute for Rural and Agricultural Development, Polish Academy of Sciences, Poland |