

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JGEESI_108271</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Spatiotemporal &amp; geostatistical modelling of groundwater level depth over Haryana-Punjab, India</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

Dear editor-in-chief I would like to say thank you for choosing me as a reviewer before starting to reflect my suggestion on the manuscript.	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Based on my view yes. 2. Yes of course 3. Yes 4. Yes 5. Yes of course 6. On average, the manuscript didn't use recent reference</p> <p>I do have one or more questions:</p> <p>1. What are the reasons that make you take 1996 as a base year? 2. On the top of your methodological flowchart, the data collected was from 1996 to 2020, however, in the analysis it says 1996 to 2019. so, which data was correctly used in the manuscript? 3. Why did the authors find groundwater levels from a two-phase time window (1996–2014 and 1996–2019)? Even, is the divided phase time being it correct? For example, the first phase time window 1996-2014 was found in the second phase time window (1996-2019), so what is the necessity of the first phase time window?</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Is good but better to revise it to make it more scientifically suitable.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>General comment Thank you very much.</p> <p>1. The present study achieved great work; yet, I am doubting the gap. 2. The introduction needs a systematic revision using appropriate citations. 3. Necessity and objectives of this study should be mentioned in the introduction section 4. The study must therefore produce minor revisions, to prove its gap and worth to advance knowledge so that it can be published (I mean a better contribution).</p> <p>I wish you all the best.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Birhanu Tadesa Edosa</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Wallaga University, Ethiopia</b>