

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_108792</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Survey for severity of peduncle blight and anthracnose of tuberose in northern part of Karnataka</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The research study highlights essential insights into the specific fungal foliar diseases. I found the article intriguing and promising giving the stimulus for further research.</li> <li>The title of the research article is concise. However, you can rephrase it such as "Survey on the Intensity of Peduncle Blight and Anthracnose in Tuberose (<i>Polianthes tuberosa</i> L.) Cultivation in Northern Karnataka"</li> <li>The abstract is concise. I recommend including the common and scientific names of the plant under investigation at the outset.</li> <li>The article is organized effectively, featuring the relevant sections and subsections.</li> <li>In my opinion, the manuscript is scientifically accurate.</li> <li>The number of references is inadequate. I strongly advise including a greater number of citations.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	The manuscript is well-written using the English language appropriately. I suggest reviewing the text to rectify some grammatical and syntax errors.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Anthoula Gleridou</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece</b>