

Original Research Article

~~A study to test the eIsolation and *in vitro* compatibility of fungal antagonists of mango anthracnose with fungicides~~
~~fungal antagonists of isolated phylloplane micro flora against mango anthracnose with recommended fungicide – an invitro study~~

Comment [GN1]: Too long

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Abstract:

~~India is the major mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) producer in the world, however, several infectious diseases caused by many phytopathogens are deteriorating mango quality and quantity. Disease control always remains a challenge for the farmers to get optimum production especially due to pesticide resistance. Hence, the present study was conducted to assess the compatibility of fungal antagonists of isolated phylloplane micro flora with recommended fungicide. The compatibility of antagonists of *C. gloeosporioides* (*Nigrosporasphaerica* (Sacc.) E.W.Mason, *Gliocladium roseum* Bainier and *Aspergillus spp.*) in mango, with different fungicides was tested. The data obtained in all experiments were statistically analyzed using methods Completely Randomized Design (CRD) was used for through dual culture and FCRD for poisoned food technique. Two systemic fungicides viz., carbendazim (0.1% -1 g/L), hexaconazole (0.05 % -1/2 ml/L) and one anon-systemic fungicides viz., Sulphur (0.2% - 2g /L) were evaluated for their compatibility with potential antagonists by poisoned food technique. In this it was revealed that, tThe antagonist *Nigrosporasphaerica* (95.56 %) and *Aspergillus spp.* (91.11%) were most compatible with sulphur whereas *Gliocladium roseum*, was more compatible with Hexaconazol (73.11 %). The results of present study are quite encouraging for the eco-friendly management of the mango anthracnose.~~

Comment [GN2]: As this work includes isolation and identification of three antagonistic isolates, mention may be made about that.

Comment [GN3]: Please expand. *Colletotrichum*

Comment [GN4]: One or more species?

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Keywords: Antagonists, mango anthracnose; Aspergillus spp.; C. gloeosporioides; Gliocladium roseum Bainier; Nigrospora sphaerica (Sacc.) E.W. Mason

Comment [GN5]: Please expand. *Colletotrichum*

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Introduction

India is the major mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) producer in the world, with an area of 2.218 million hectares and the annual production of 18.832 million tones with productivity of 8.49

MT/ha. India contributes about 64 per cent of the world mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) production.¹ However, several infectious diseases caused by many phytopathogens are deteriorating the quality and production of mango quality and quantity. Disease control always remains a challenge for the farmers to get optimum production especially due to pesticide resistance. Resistance to fungicide in current days is a major threat to plant disease management.²

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Comment [GN6]: Please cite work on losses in Mango due to anthracnose.

The surface of aerial plant part provides a habitat for epiphytic micro-organism, many of which are capable of influencing the growth of pathogens. Phylloplane is the leaf surface which serves as a habitat for a variety of microorganisms including pathogens and saprophytes. The term phylloplane was given by 'Kerling' in 1964. Kerling (1964) used the term 'phylloplane' while referring to the actual leaf surface and 'phyllosphere' to the zone near leaves.³ A number of saprophytic microorganisms on the phylloplane, antagonistic to pathogen have been reported to produce antibiotics. Phylloplane micro-flora comprises a group of different microbes such as bacteria, mycelium forming fungi, yeasts etc. which are the inhabitants of the plant foliage.⁴ Considering the importance of above mentioned points the present study was conducted to assess the compatibility of fungal antagonists of isolated phylloplane micro-flora against mango anthracnose fungus *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* (*C. gloeosporioides*) with recommended fungicides to develop a sound strategy for management of anthracnose.

Comment [GN7]: Please cite briefly the work on antagonists on phylloplanes in crops, especially Mango.

Comment [GN8]: Insert author name, please.

Materials and methods

The present study on use of phylloplane micro-flora of mango against mango anthracnose were carried out in the Department of Plant Pathology, College of Agriculture, Dr. B.S.K.K.V., Dapoli as described by Narware et al. (2018)⁵.

Isolation and identification of phylloplane micro-flora:

Isolation and Identification:

The tender, healthy leaves of mango were collected from the mango orchard in paper bags and brought to the laboratory.

Repeated isolations of phylloplane micro-flora of mango were done as described by Narware et al. (2018)⁵, revealed the presence of three fungi. In present study, other phylloplane organisms such as bacteria and yeasts were not found to be associated with mango leaves. All the three fungal antagonists were observed under microscope. Among them two unidentified cultures were sent for identification to The Chief Mycologist, Agharkar Research Institute, Pune.

Comment [GN9]: Please include a concise description of method as the "Discussion" has a mention of leaf impression method used in isolation of antagonists in the present study.

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Compatibility of potential antagonists with different fungicides:

This experiment was conducted to test the compatibility of potential antagonists of *C. gloeosporioides* with the fungicides recommended against the pathogen. Two systemic fungicides viz., carbendazim (0.1% -1 g/L), hexaconazole (0.05 % -1/2 ml/L) and one non-systemic fungicides viz., Sulphur (0.2% - 2g /L) were evaluated for their compatibility with potential antagonists by poisoned food technique (Nene and Thapliyal, 1997).⁶

Comment [GN10]: How were these fungi assumed to be potential antagonists? If separate studies proving such a possibility were carried out but not presented here, please mention so.

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Tr. No.	Treatments	Trade name	Conc. (%)
T ₁	Sulphur	Sulpho	0.2%
T ₂	Hexaconazole 25-EC	Topper	0.05%
T ₃	Carbendiazim	Bavistin	0.1%
T ₄	Control	-	-

Fungicidal solution of required concentration was prepared and it was poured in to 100 ml PDA in measured quantity to get the desired concentration. Poisoned medium (15 ml) was poured in sterile Petri plates and allowed to solidify. A 5 mm mycelial disc of seven days old culture of

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

each antagonist was inoculated separately at the center of each Petri plate and incubated at $26\pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ and maintained for ten days. A control was maintained without fungicide. Three replications were maintained per treatment. Per cent reduction in radial growth was compared with growth in control plates and per cent compatibility was calculated by the following formula:

$$I = \frac{C - T}{C} \times 100$$

Where,

I = Per cent compatibility.

C = Radial growth (cm) in control.

T = Radial growth (cm) in treatment.

The total colony area in all the treatments was plotted on graph paper as mentioned earlier.

Statistical Analysis

The data obtained in all experiments were statistically analyzed using methods Completely Randomized Design (CRD) was used for dual culture and FCRD for poisoned food technique.

Results and Discussion

Isolation and Identification:

The colony of one of the isolated fungi was pink in colour. The growth of this fungus on PDA was very slow at ambient temperature. The colony of the second fungus was creamy white and slightly sticky. The third isolated fungus formed dark black colony on PDA and its growth was fast as it reached to the rim of the Petri plate within four days. Under microscopic observations one of the three fungal antagonists was confirmed as to be *Aspergillus* on the basis of morphological characters such as septate mycelium, collumela formed in apical region of the conidiophores and round black-coloured spores. The fungus forming pink colony was identified as *Gliocladium roseum* Bainier and the fungus with creamy white mycelium was identified as *Nigrospora sphaerica* (Sacc.) E. W. Mason. As per the identification of all these fungi were made by The Chief Mycologist, Agharkar Research Institute, Pune.

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [GN11]: No mention has been made earlier. If total colony area was calculated, please mention that.

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [GN12]: Were the data subjected to transformation?

Comment [GN13]: No description of dual culture experiment or data are presented. Please include.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Space After: 6 pt, Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers

Comment [GN14]: What was the temperature? Mention the range.

Comment [GN15]: In the table 3, it is given as *Aspergillus* spp. Were there many species or one? Please modify accordingly.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Italic

The results in table 1 Compatibility tests through poison food technique indicated that the antagonist *Nigrospora sphaerica* was the most compatible with sulphur (95.56%) followed by Carbendazim (80.00%). Hexaconazole (25.33 %) was found to be slightly detrimental for the mycelial growth of the fungus (Table 1).

Compatibility of the antagonists with different fungicides by poisoned food technique

Table 1: Compatibility of *Nigrospora sphaerica* (Sacc.) E.W.Mason with fungicides

Treatments	Mean colony dia. (cm)	% Inhibition	% Compatibility
T ₁ Sulphur	8.60	4.44	95.56
T ₂ Hexaconazol	2.28	74.67	25.33
T ₃ Carbendazim	7.20	20.00	80.00
T ₄ Control	9.00		
SEm ±	0.12		
CD @1%	0.49		

Comment [GN16]: Table 1, 2 and 3 can be combined together.

Comment [GN17]: Please delete this column as the % compatibility is a derivative of this.

Formatted Table

Table 2 revealed that the antagonist *G. roseum* was most compatible with Hexaconazole (73.11%) followed by sulphur (41.33%). Carbendazim was found to be detrimental for the mycelial growth of the fungus (Table 2).

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Italic

The results in table indicate that the antagonist *Nigrospora sphaerica* was the most compatible with sulphur (95.56%) followed by Carbendazim (80.00%). Hexaconazole (25.33 %) was found to be slightly detrimental for the mycelial growth of the fungus.

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0.63", Space Before: 0 pt

Table 2: Compatibility of *Gliocladium roseum* Bainier with fungicides *Gliocladium roseum* Bainier

Treatments	Mean colony dia. (cm)	% Inhibition	% Compatibility
T ₁ Sulphur	3.72	58.67	41.33
T ₂ Hexaconazol	6.58	26.89	73.11

Comment [GN18]: Please refer to the previous comment.

T ₃ Carbendazim	1.22	86.44	13.56
T ₄ Control	9.00		
S.Em±	0.13		
CD @1%	0.53		

~~Table 2 revealed that the antagonist was more compatible with Hexaconazole (73.11%) followed by sulphur (41.33%). carbendazim was found to be detrimental for the mycelial growth of the fungus.~~

Table 3: Compatibility of *Aspergillus spp.* with fungicides

Treatments	Mean colony dia. (cm)	% Inhibition	% Compatibility
T ₁ Sulphur	8.20	8.89	91.11
T ₂ Hexaconazol	6.60	26.67	73.33
T ₃ Carbendazim	8.80	2.22	97.78
T ₄ Control	9.00		
SEm±	0.10		
CD @1%	0.41		

Comment [GN19]: If one species, please mention as *Aspergillus* sp.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Italic

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Italic

Comment [GN20]: Please refer the previous comment.

~~The results of table 3 indicate that the~~ The antagonist *Aspergillus spp.* was compatible with all the three fungicides but ~~the was~~ most compatible with carbendazim (97.78 %) followed by sulphur (91.11%) and Hexaconazole (73.33%).

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Bold

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Bold, Not Italic

Discussion

The anthracnose infected leaves were collected from the naturally maintained, unsprayed mango orchard and pathogen *C. gloeosporioides* was isolated on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) medium. Soyong *et al.* (2005),⁷ Evueh and Ogbebor (2008),⁸ Ismet *et al.* (2012)⁹ and Kuberan *et al.* (2012),¹⁰ also reported that PDA is the best medium both for mycelial growth as well as spore formation of *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*.

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

In the present study, isolation of phylloplane micro-flora was done by using leaf impression method where, both the leaf surfaces, dorsal and ventral, were pressed against the

Comment [GN21]: The study is not about the ideal medium or method of isolation of antagonists. So, please remove these sentences.

solid culture medium as per the method described by Aneja *et al.*,¹¹ for isolation of phylloplane micro-flora.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Italic

~~In the present study the compatibility of fungal antagonists i.e., *Nigrospora sphaerica* (Sacc.), *Gliocladium roseum* and *Aspergillus* species which are isolates of phylloplane micro flora against mango anthracnose fungus *C. gloeosporioides*. was assessed with recommended fungicide.~~

Comment [GN22]: This paragraph should go into the materials and methods. Also, kindly note that a different reference (Narware *et al.* (2018)) is provided for isolation in the Materials and methods already. Kindly check.

Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Italic

Mathews *et al.*¹² studied the compatibility of four phylloplane *Trichoderma* isolates used as antagonists against *C.gloeosporioides* with various fungicides at different concentrations. Among ~~the four isolates~~ them, the isolates T₁ and T₇ were 100 per cent compatible with Mancozeb. The isolate T₇ was also compatible with Thiram but Thiram had inhibitory effect on T₁. ~~The results of the present study are in similitude with them. The compatibility of three phylloplane antagonists with different fungicide was not similar. On the present study,~~ out of the three antagonists two were (*Nigrospora N.sphaerica* and *Aspergillus* spp.) more compatible with sulphur. It may be due to the fact that, sulphur plays vital role in growth and reproduction of many fungi and also acts as a component of sulphur containing amino acid in protein synthesis.

Comment [GN23]: sp. or spp.?

The inhibitory effect of sulphur on *Gliocladium roseum* may be due to the differences in chitin content and chitin synthesis process of this fungus.

Comment [GN24]: Not substantiated. Do you have a supporting citation? If so, please include.

~~In case of Hexaconazole, it~~ It was found that *Aspergillus* and *Gliocladium G.roseum* were more compatible (above 73 %) with Hexaconazole while *Nigrospora N.sphaerica* was the least compatible (25.33 %).

~~In respect of Carbendazim, was compatible with *Aspergillus* and *Nigrospora Nigrospora* but were compatible with it to the tune of 97.78 per cent and 80 per cent but it was harmful for to *Gliocladium G.roseum*. The results of present study are quite encouraging for the eco-friendly management of the mango anthracnose but some more potential phylloplane fungal as well as bacterial antagonists may be present at different locations in the same region. There is a need to isolate all such antagonists and study their interactions with each other to formulate consortium of synergistic microbes for the better management of the disease and thereby provide a pollution free technology for disease management to the farming community.~~

Conclusion

In the present study, compatibility of ~~isolated~~ fungal antagonists of mango anthracnose isolated from phylloplane with three fungicides was assessed by poisoned food technique. In this it was revealed that, the antagonist *Nigrosporasphaerica* (95.56 %) and *Aspergillus* *spp.* (91.11%) were most compatible with sulphur whereas *Gliocladium roseum*, was more compatible with Hexaconazol (73.11 %). The results of present study are quite encouraging for the eco-friendly management of the mango anthracnose.

~~The results of present study are quite encouraging for the eco friendly management of the mango anthracnose but some more potential phylloplane fungal as well as bacterial antagonists may be present at different locations in the region. There is a need to isolate all such antagonists and study their interactions with each other to formulate consortium of synergistic microbes for the better management of the disease and thereby provide a pollution free technology for disease management to the farming community.~~

Comment [GN25]: Please refer to previous comment on this.

References

1. Jadhav, R.R., Thaware, B. G., Burondkar, M. M., Sanap, P.B., Jadhav, S.C., Mahale, A. G. 2019. Physical changes in alphonso mango fruits during fruit development as influenced by preharvest spray of nutrients on fruit. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 7(5): 40-42
2. Khaskheli, M. I. (2020). Mango Diseases: Impact of Fungicides. *Horticultural Crops*, 143.
3. Kerling, L. C. P. 1964. Fungi in the phyllosphere of leaves of rye and strawberry. Meded. Landb-Hogeschool Gent., 29: 885–895.
4. Goswami, S., Goel, N., & Majumdar, R. S. (2021). Phylloplane microbes impact host physiology: a review. *Journal of Plant Protection Research*, 61(3).

5. Narware, J., Borker, P.G., & Joshi, M. (2018). Isolation and Biocontrol Potential of Phylloplane Microflora Against *Colletotrichum* in Mango. *International Journal of Advanced Biological Research*, 8 (1) 2018: 113-116.
6. Nene, T.L. and Thapliyal, P.N, 1997. Fungicides in plant disease control. *Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. New Delhi*. pp.531-532.
7. Soyong, K., Srinon, W., Rattanacherdchai, K., Kanokmedhakul, S. and Kanokmedhakul, K. 2005. Application of antagonistic fungi to control anthracnose disease of grape. *Journal of Agricultural Biotechnology*, 1: 33-41.
8. Evueh, G. A. and Ogbebor, N. O. 2008. Use of phylloplane fungi as biocontrol agent against *Colletotrichum* leaf disease of rubber (*Hevea brasiliensis* Muell. Arg.), *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 7 (15): 2569-2572.
9. Ismet Ara, H. Rizwana, M. R. Al-Othman and Bakir M.A. 2012. Studies of actinomycetes for biological control of *Colletotrichum musae* pathogen during post-harvest anthracnose of banana *African Journal of Microbiology Research*, 6(17): 3879-3886.
10. Kuberan, T, Sharma, R.V., Angusamy B., Nepolean, P., Jayanthi, R. and Robert, P.K. 2012. Isolation and biocontrol potential of *Trichoderma* against *Glomerella cingulata* in tea, *Journal of Phylloplane Agricultural Technology*, 8(3): 1039-1050.
11. Aneja, K. R. 2003. Experiments in microbiology, plant pathology and biotechnology (4th edition), New Age International (p) Limited New Delhi: 35-56.
12. Mathews, A. A., Basha, S.T. and N. P. Eswara Reddy. 2010. Mode of action of native potential antagonist, *Trichoderma fasciculatum* against *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* causing mango anthracnose, *International Journal of Plant Protection*, 3 (1) : 34-39.