

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_107902</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>ADVANCES FOR IMPROVING PHOSPHORUS USE EFFICIENCY IN AGRICULTURE</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is meticulously written with latest technologies incorporation which will immensely help the scientific community particularly the researchers, scholars and the students soil science and agronomy.</p> <p>Title is found suitable.</p> <p>General but has the possibility for improving with comprehensive incorporation of information presented in the text. Appropriate.</p> <p>Definitely correct.</p> <p>Upto 2021 references were given. So the editor will decide. However, latest published findings could have been incorporated.</p> <p>Some comments have been given in the body of the text of the manuscript. Please check. Please double check the references.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>Very much suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Very nicely written review article and suitable for publication in the journal. Some corrections and suggestions given should be followed before publication.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>K. N. Das</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Assam Agricultural University, India</b>