

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_109273
Title of the Manuscript:	Unlocking Growth and Quality Proficiency in Onion ( <i>Allium cepa</i> L.) Var. Bhima Shakti: A Comprehensive Appraisal of Biofertilizer, GA3 and Humic Acid Impact
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. It is better to explain the treatment list with a table (Figure 1)</p> <p>2. no significant difference between treatments on all observation parameters often cannot be claimed on certain treatments higher or better (abstract and result).</p> <p>3. TSS measurement method has not been included (Method)</p> <p>4. choose one of the data presentations using tables or figures because the data used is the same (Tabel 1 and Figure 2)</p> <p>5. write down the standard or criteria of pungency the figure show the same result, select one of the following (method)</p> <p>6. Is there a relationship between pyruvic acid and TSS? If there is a relationship, write down the equation of the relationship (Figure 2).</p> <p>7. manuscript writing is not yet in accordance with the journal template</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, but need minor revision	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Manuscript revision is still needed, especially in discussing experimental results. In addition, manuscript writing is not in accordance with the template provided by the journal.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Arinta Rury Puspitasari</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Indonesian Sugar Research Institute, Indonesia</b>