

**Review Form 1.7**

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b> |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_IJECC_108995</b>   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Decadal Rainfall Trends and Variability across Nigeria</b>  |
| Type of the Article      |  |

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|  | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>   | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5.</b></p> <p><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>I consider that it is convenient to point out the intention and the effort that the authors make in wanting to make the manuscript and bring an experience to a research product, that is certainly valuable and recognized. I think it would be an interesting model to improve and clearly establish originality.</p> <p>Your work is interested, written well, and organized. However, there are some comments should be considered before publishing, in this way, the scientific quality of the manuscript would be improved. I suggest the authors update the bibliography, many of the citations are more than 15 years old, therefore I suggest adding recent references which address the issue in question. Suggested citations are for genuine scientific reasons that emphasize the current topic of study in context.</p> <p>Authors should consider the corrections suggested by the reviewers. In this way the postulated manuscript would be reasonably presented, fluent reading.</p> |  |
| <p><b>Minor</b>REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>  |   |  |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b>comments</p>   |   |  |

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |  |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                     |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Jacob Pitti</b>                  |
| Department, University & Country | <b>University of Panama, Panama</b> |