

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_108508
Title of the Manuscript:	Blue Carbon Ecosystem Changes and Ethnobotany Values of Indian Sundarbans; in Anthropocene
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes , only after major revisions</p> <p>2. No. as the methodology is focused on LULC change detection in Sundarnban mangroves, and this is no where even reflected in directly</p> <p>3. No. The abstract needs to be shortened and more comprehensive approach is needed.</p> <p>4. No, kindly restructure the complete manuscript based on significance and relevance of data to adopted methodology and anticipated results in alignment to main objectives of research</p> <p>5. May be to some extent. Needs a lot of revision</p> <p>6. 6. No, some section have very less references whereas somne sections have too many references. Secondly it is suggested to include more recent references</p> <p>The title does not reflect the aim of the paper, kindly modify the title which is more relevant to the research paper. The paper attempts to conduct a LULC change detection in Sundarban Mangroves. Other than this there</p> <p>Add sufficiently more references in introduction, follow a specific referencing style. Follow the structure of research paper as per journal guidelines and re- write the sections as per the standard structure</p> <p>As paper attempts LULC change detection in Sundarban Mangroves. The other sections of the paper and typology of the plants needs to relate to this methodology/ outcome of this step. In nutshell, there is no significant research methodology and sections are fragments attempting different aspects are not derived or relatable to LULC methodology</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes English is fine. But the language is not scientific at many places and in many sections</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Neha Bansal
Department, University & Country	Integral University, India