

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_108545
Title of the Manuscript:	An Assessment on How Early Marriage Influences Girls' Secondary School Dropout in Mufindi District.
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes, the manuscript is quite important. 2. Title is also appropriate. 3. The abstract is comprehensive enough to reflect the research content. 4. All the subsections are appropriate. Only the literature review part needs to be clarified more. 5. The researcher has done justice to the research for making it scientific. 6. The references are ok. Some of them still need to be written according the journal template. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes, the language quality is suitable.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The manuscript is well prepared. The reviewed literature part needs to be clarified more. The beginning part should demonstrate the observation of the other critics from a typical perspective. For instance, the last paragraph of this section should state the research gap that the researcher is going to fulfill.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bimal Kishore Shrivastwa
Department, University & Country	Tribhuvan University, Nepal