

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Gastroenterology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRGA_109082
Title of the Manuscript:	Step-up approach for the management of acute necrotizing Pancreatitis (ANP): Single institution experience
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The step-up-approach procedure, in infected necrosis of acute pancreatitis has been known in the scientific literature, for over fifteen years.</p> <p>The title is very common and not original</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>The subsections are appropriate</p> <p>From scientific point of view the article is modest and does not suggest any new elements regarding surgical treatment</p> <p>The bibliography is not numerous, compared to the enormous quantity of articles published. The only most recent cited article (n.11) dates back to 2021</p> <p>The structure of the article is well done, but the article addresses a problem already known and widely discussed in the literature. The number of reported cases is modest.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English language deserves greater attention</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Although the article is well structured, it lacks originality and does not make any new contribution to the problem of the treatment of infected pancreatic necrosis.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Piero Chirletti
Department, University & Country	Sapienza University of Rome, Italy