

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_109060
Title of the Manuscript:	A study on determining the predictors of job satisfaction among licensed social workers in Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Manuscript important for scientific community. There is an inconsistency between the title of the study and the purpose of the study. The summary of the article is sufficient. The subsections and structure of the article are generally appropriate. There are areas that need scientific development in the research. First of all, after the introduction of the study, the hypotheses regarding the variables examined in the study should be given in the light of the conceptual framework. Hypotheses need to be tested in subsequent analyses. Additionally, there is confusion about how appropriate the measurement tools used in the study are for the purpose of the study. On the other hand, it should be specifically stated why the measurement tools used in the study were preferred. There are many measurement tools related to existing variables in the literature. Finally, in the evaluation part of the study, information about the theoretical and practical contribution of the study should be given. Other issues are mentioned in the study. The references used in the study need to be improved. You can take a look at the works of Paul Spector, who has important works on this subject. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The language of the article is generally suitable for scientific communication.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Salih Dursun
Department, University & Country	Karadeniz Technical University, Turkey