

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_96381</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Performance of Tomato varieties Arka Rakshak and Arka Samrat in Naturally Ventilated Polyhouse and Its Economic Returns</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>The manuscript is important for scientific community especially for the person who perform about tomato research</b></p> <p>2. <b>The title is suitable</b></p> <p>3. <b>It needs more research recommendation to be included in the abstract.</b></p> <p>4. <b>It is appropriate</b></p> <p>5. <b>Yes. But for the economic sub section shall be written deeper to gain more understanding for readers</b></p> <p>6. <b>It needs more up dated references, less than 5 years references</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	It needs proof reading correction	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Fauzan Romadlon</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institut Teknologi Telkom Purwokerto, Indonesia</b>