

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_108941
Title of the Manuscript:	Millets starch – a potential functional ingredient
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The article covers an important topic and will have multiple reads</p> <p>2. The title is appropriate</p> <p>3. The abstract should include more about the functionality of starch as per the topic, rather than delving into the basic introduction of millets.</p> <p>4. The manuscript has few subsections and should include one about the work on extraction techniques of starch from millets in detail including latest research work.</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. In a review, work done in the last decade should be referenced extensively. The authors should include more and add more work under each sub-sections.</p> <p>Since this is a review, the length of the manuscript is quite concise and should be elaborated. The authors should include more studies under each subsections and also include a section on the constraints.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jagbir Rehal
Department, University & Country	Punjab Agricultural University, India