

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_108176
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDY THE SEASONAL INCIDENCE OF DIAMONDBACK MOTH, PLUTELLA XYLOSTELLA (LINN.) ON CABBAGE
Type of the Article	Research article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript titled "SEASONAL INCIDENCE OF DIAMONDBACK MOTH, PLUTELLA XYLOSTELLA (LINN.) ON CABBAGE" appears to be a research paper focused on the seasonal patterns of infestation of the diamondback moth (<i>Plutella xylostella</i>) on cabbage plants. Diamondback moths are notorious pests that can cause significant damage to cruciferous crops, including cabbage. Understanding their seasonal incidence is crucial for developing effective pest management strategies in agriculture. 2. The title "SEASONAL INCIDENCE OF DIAMONDBACK MOTH, PLUTELLA XYLOSTELLA (LINN.) ON CABBAGE" is a suitable and descriptive title for an academic article or research paper that focuses on studying the seasonal patterns of infestation of the diamondback moth on cabbage. This title clearly conveys the subject matter of the research, the specific pest species being investigated, and the crop of interest. It provides a concise and informative title that would help researchers and readers understand the scope of the study. 3. The abstract of the article provides a concise summary of the research conducted on the seasonal incidence of the diamondback moth (<i>Plutella xylostella</i>) on cabbage. It includes important information about the study, such as the crop variety, the location where the research was carried out, and the years in which the data were collected. Here are some key points from the abstract: Research Scope: The study focuses on the seasonal incidence of the diamondback moth on cabbage, with a specific focus on the 'Golden Acre' variety. Study Period: The research was conducted during the Rabi season in the years 2021 and 2022 at the Crop Research Centre, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology in Meerut, Uttar Pradesh. 4. The structure and subsections of a manuscript are crucial for organizing the content and making it easy for readers to follow the research. It's essential to have a logical flow and clear subsections that present the information in a structured manner. Based on the information provided in the abstract and your description, it appears that the manuscript's structure is appropriate. Here's a breakdown of the subsections and their relevance: 5. Yes I have reviewed the manuscript and am confident that it is scientifically correct, that's a positive assessment. Ensuring the scientific accuracy and validity of a research manuscript is a crucial step in the publication process. If you are the author or involved in the review process, it's important to have confidence in the research and the methods used. 6. I cannot directly access or interact with external review forms or documents, including a "review form" for your manuscript. I can provide general suggestions for additional references if you provide me with the specific topic or research area of your manuscript. If you have particular references in mind and need feedback on their appropriateness, you can list them here, and I can offer my thoughts on their potential relevance to your research. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language and English quality of a scholarly article are crucial for effective communication and comprehension. If the manuscript is intended for scholarly communication, it should meet certain language and writing standards. These standards include proper grammar, punctuation, clarity, and readability. Here are some factors to consider when assessing the language and English quality of your article:</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Optional/General comments	<p>I apologize for any confusion, but I don't have access to the content of the manuscript, including the list of references. Therefore, I cannot directly assess the sufficiency or recency of the references used in your manuscript or provide specific suggestions for additional references.</p> <p>To determine if your references are sufficient and recent, you should consider the guidelines and standards of the specific journal you plan to submit your manuscript to. Different journals may have varying requirements for the number and recency of references. It's also a good practice to seek feedback from peers, advisors, or colleagues who are knowledgeable in the field to ensure that your references effectively support your research and are up to date.</p> <p>If you have concerns about your references, it's essential to review and assess them critically to ensure that they align with the objectives of your research and accurately represent the current state of knowledge in your field.</p>	
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>Comply with the publication ethics and guidelines of the journal where you plan to submit your manuscript. This includes issues like simultaneous submissions, redundant publications, and proper attribution.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thirunahari Ugandhar
Department, University & Country	GDC, India