

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Oncology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AORJ_108845
Title of the Manuscript:	Radiological and histopathological diagnosis of pulmonary mass according to CT-guided FNAC and core biopsy
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- Yes, this manuscript has some importance to the medical field as it tries to evaluate the effectiveness of CT-guided core biopsy and FNAC in diagnosing pulmonary mass lesions.</p> <p>2- Yes, the title of this article is suitable but I advise authors to write down what (FNAC) stands for in the title.</p> <p>3- Yes, the abstract of this article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4- Yes, this manuscript has the right structure and subsections.</p> <p>5- Yes, the manuscript looks scientifically correct but I think authors didn't meet their aim in this study as they didn't calculation a sensitivity and specificity of the diagnostic method under study. Also, they didn't introduce a correlation analysis.</p> <p>6- The used references are relatively recent but I think they are not sufficient. Authors have left the methods section without any appropriate citation.</p> <p>7- For the keywords, I suggest adding "CT-guided core biopsy".</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Writing quality is good.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

## Review Form 1.7

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Hasanain Abdulhameed Odhar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Al-Zahrawi University College, Iraq</b>