

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Zoology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_108169
Title of the Manuscript:	NESTING RESOURCE PARTITIONING OF FOUR SPECIES ( <i>Acridotheres tristis</i> , <i>Acridotheres ginginianus</i> , <i>Sturnia pagodarum</i> and <i>Gracupica contra</i> ) OF STURNIDAE FAMILY IN PERI-URBAN REGION OF AJMER CITY, RAJASTHAN, INDIA
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>As reviewer, I have any comment about this manuscript:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Generally, this manuscript accepted after the authors submitted revision</li> <li>2. The article is interesting, the authors did good research with data that perfectly describes its purpose, and it is written correctly.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>Review results:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. This manuscript is written in a very good English structure</li> <li>2. The content of this paper has fully complied with the rules of scientific writing</li> <li>3. This paper accepted with minor revision</li> <li>4. Good paper</li> </ol> <p>See the attachment</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rony Marsyal Kunda</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Pattimura, Indonesia</b>