

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_108612
Title of the Manuscript:	Navigating the Modernization of Legacy Applications and Data: Effective Strategies and Best Practices
Type of the Article	Review

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Authors should include some more papers relates to data encryption / data retrieval in cloud computing. Kindly include following papers in references</p> <p>1) Balasubramaniam, S., & Kavitha, V. (2014). A survey on data encryption techniques in cloud computing. <i>Asian Journal of Information Technology</i>, 13(9), 494-505.</p> <p>2) Balasubramaniam, S., & Kavitha, V. (2015). Hybrid Security Architecture for Personal Health Record Transactions in Cloud Computing. <i>Advances in Information Sciences and Service Sciences</i>, 7(1), 121.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English proof reading to be done.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1) Introduction consists of many small paragraphs. All paragraphs should combine into 2 or 3 large paragraphs.</p> <p>2) Duration of the study is less. If possible, authors can increase the duration of study.</p> <p>3) Illustrate the methodology into figure or diagram, It gives interest to readers to area the full papers.</p> <p>4) All diagrams/Figure should have one- or two-lines explanation about the figure.</p> <p>5) Remove the underline in Subsection heading.</p> <p>6) Section 3 heading should be reframed.</p> <p>7) Try to merge small small contents into large paragraphs.</p> <p>8) Figure 1 and Figure 2 have same Title. It is not correct. Rephrase the figure 2 title.</p> <p>9) Conclusion also combine small and small paragraphs</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Balasubramaniam S
Department, University & Country	University of Kerala, India