

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_106598
Title of the Manuscript:	Ultrasonography as a tool in assessment of breast pain among women
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. It is a very interesting research work about a common symptom in women - breast pain. With their research, the authors have once again confirmed that the prevalence of breast cancer in women with mastodynia is low. However, an ultrasound examination could convince patients that further medical treatment is not needed.</p> <p>2. Yes.</p> <p>3. It could be improved.</p> <p>4. 4. Yes.</p> <p>5. Yes.</p> <p>6. No, only one reference is within 5 years (from 2018), while all the rest are older than 5 years. One gets the impression that the manuscript was written 4-5 years ago, so recent literature should be analyzed and cited again.</p> <p>7. I suggest the author to add breast cancer to the keywords.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, but it could be improved. Also, there are numerous small errors in the paper, such as the omitted point at the end of the sentence.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In general, this is a valuable and useful research paper that should be updated (all references) to be useful primarily to clinicians but also to the broader scientific community.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kristina Bojanić
Department, University & Country	Health Canter Osijek, Croatia