

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_108874
Title of the Manuscript:	Toxicity Evaluation of dual fungicide SAAF (Carbendazim 12% and Mancozeb 63%) through physiological markers in Oreochromis mossambicus
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Yes, the manuscript is very important for academic communication.</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? Yes, the title is appropriate instead of the article</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes, they cover the article in its entirety.</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes, all subsections and structure are correct.</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes, scientifically correct as a whole.</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>In general yes, they are sufficient and updated</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Overall, he presents satisfactory English.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The article is well written and will be an excellent source of reference for researchers working in this area.</p> <p>SEE THE ATTACHMENT</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Givanildo de Gois
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Acre, Brazil