

Composition of Tuna Catch *Hand Line* at the Fish Landing Base (FLB) Lonrae, Bone Regency, South Sulawesi Province, Indonesia?

Comment [AJFAR1]:

ABSTRACT

~~Fish landed at fishing ports generally come from various species, fisheries management has developed into a decentralized system. Tuna is one type of economically important fish in the world and is the third largest fishery commodity in Indonesia. Indonesia's third largest fishery commodity. The aim of this research is to determine the size composition of catches and analyze the relationship between length and weight of hand line tuna catches. Data collection techniques were carried out by observing and measuring as well as interviews with interviewing crew members of hand-line fishing boats who landed their catches at the Lonrae Fish Landing Base (FLB). Descriptive data is presented in the form of tables and graphs. The statistical analysis used is a simple regression between two variables, namely the length and weight of the fish. Next, to get the regression coefficients, the data is processed using the graphical method provided in MS Excel software. The catch of hand line tuna boats landed at FLB Lonrae, consists of three species of tuna, namely: yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*), skipjack tuna (*Katsuwonus pelamis*) and bigeye tuna (*Thunnus obesus*). The relationship between length and weight of 876 yellowfin tuna fish obtained a value of $b=3.08$ indicating positive allometric growth where weight growth was faster than length growth. The length-weight relationship of 876 Yellowfin tuna fish obtained a value of $b=3.08$, the length-weight relationship of 467 Skipjack Tuna fish obtained a b value of 2.71 and the length-weight relationship of 430 Bigeye tuna fish obtained a value of $b=2.97$ shows positive allometric growth where weight growth is faster than length growth. The composition of tuna species landed at FLB Lonrae, Bone Regency consists of 3 species, namely Yellowfin Tuna, Bigeye Tuna and Skipjack. Composition of tuna species YFT=29.2% BET=11.8% and SKJ =58.97%.~~

Comment [AJFAR2]: Re-write please

Comment [AJFAR3]: Re-write please

Keywords: Tuna Type, Composition, FLB Lonrae

1. INTRODUCTION

~~The main catch landed at fishing ports generally contains a variety of species and is important for Sustainability Facilitator to recognize each species and record the correct species. Species identification errors result in invalid data and are responsible for ensuring all fish samples are identified to species level. (1)~~

Fisheries management in Indonesia has developed into a decentralized system, where each region can introduce regional-specific regulations. To coordinate stock management at the national level, the government must have information from various regions (2). Each region should have a number of many data collection sites that provide sufficient sampling coverage to contribute to the national management plan (3)

Tuna is one ~~type of economically important fish in the world and is economically important fish in the world and~~ the third largest fishery commodity in Indonesia after shrimp and bottom fish (4). One of the fish landing places in South Sulawesi is the Lonrae Fish Landing Base, which is located in Bone Regency, which is the location of a study carried out by the Masyarakat Dan Perikanan Indonesia (MDPI) and its team. Lonrae Fish Landing Base is one of the strategic ports for developing tuna fisheries using tuna hand-line fishing vessels (*Tuna Hand Lines*).

Comment [AJFAR4]:

Therefore, knowledge is needed about the size of the tuna catch. Fish growth patterns can be determined through the relationship between length and weight of catch. The aim of this research is to determine the size composition of the catch *tuna hand line*, and analyze the relationship between length and weight of catch *tuna hand line*. This research was carried out at Fish Landing Base Lonrae, Bone Regency from August 2019 to July 2020.

Comment [AJFAR5]: Re-write please

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Method of collecting data

This research was conducted following the descriptive method (5). Data collection techniques in the research were carried out by direct observation at the research location, and carrying out measurements and interviews (filling out questionnaires) with crew members of tuna hand-line fishing boats who landed their catch at Fish Landing Base Lonrae.

The sampling method is carried out after the fish are landed at the pier, with the number of samples taken being 20-30 percent of the number of ships that land their fish. Fish larger than 10 kilogram were measured for overall length and weight. Meanwhile, fish smaller than 10 kg are measured ~~from baskets 1, 3, 5 and so on as many as~~ 200 fish. Then morphometric measurements were carried out using a meter and then weighed using a digital scale (6). Given the volume of data that can be collected to inform fisheries management, the use of a database system to store the data that has been collected and can be accessed by various stakeholders, using I-Fish (*Indonesia Fisheries Information System*).

Comment [AJFAR6]: ???? what do you mean?

2.2. Data Analysis

~~Descriptive data is presented in tabular form and depicted in graphical form.~~ The statistical analysis used is simple regression analysis between two variables (*variables*) namely the length and weight of the fish (7). The relationship between length and weight almost follows the cubic law, namely that the weight of the fish is the cube of its length, with the formula

Comment [AJFAR7]: Re-write

$$W = cL^n$$

which is then transformed into a logarithmic equation to become

$$\text{Log } W = \log c + n \log L,$$

where W is the weight of the fish, L the length of the fish, c and L are constants or simply written as $Y = a + bX$, where $Y = \log W$, $X = \log L$, $a = \log c$ (intercept), and b slope (constant).

After the length and weight data have been transformed into logarithmic form, then to obtain the regression coefficients the data is processed using the graphical method provided in MS Excel software.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Composition of Types and Sizes of Catches

Ship catch *tuna hand line* landed at FLPLonrae, Bone Regency, South Sulawesi, consisting of three species of tuna, namely: yellowfin tuna (*Albacore tuna*/Yellowfin Yellowfin Tuna/Madidhang/YFT), Cakalang (*Katsuwonus pelamis*/Skipjack Tuna/SKJ) and bigeye tuna (BET).

3.1.1. *Thunnusalbacore* / Yellowfin tuna/ Madidhang / YFT

The maximum fork length of yellowfin tuna is 180 cm and the size at first maturity is 103.3 cm. The second dorsal fin and anal fin of yellowfin tuna can be very long, sometimes reaching 20% of the total fork length (Figure 1). Yellowfin tuna is black/blue on the dorsal side, turning silver on the ventral side, with a yellow stripe on the half side line. The ventral side has 20 dashed vertical lines, which may appear as a column of small white/silver dots. The additional dorsal fin and additional anal fin are bright yellow and sometimes have a very narrow black border. Juvenile yellowfin tuna often congregate with skipjack tuna in waters less than 50m deep, with adult yellowfin tuna found deeper in the water column, usually between 50-250meter. (8)



Figure 1. *Albacore tuna* / Yellowfin Tuna / Madhidhang / YFT

Comment [AJFAR8]: Did you analyze that?
Please describe the analyzes in the method

3.1.2. *Katsuwonus pelamis*/ Skipjack tuna/ Cakalang/ SKJ

Skipjack tuna is a rapidly growing species, reaching a fork length of 42 cm after 150 days, and can reach a maximum length of 120 cm (9). Skipjack tuna has no scales, except for the shield and lateral lines. The dorsal side is dark purple/blue and the ventral side and belly are silver. The ventral side has a number of clearly visible dark horizontal lines, usually 4-6. There are between seven and nine additional fins after the second dorsal fin, more of which can be seen in Figure 2.



Figure 2. *Katsuwonus pelamis* / Skipjack Tuna/SKJ

3.1.3. *Tunaobesus* / Bigeye tuna/ BET

Bigeye tuna has a maximum fork length of 200 cm. Bigeye tuna have distinctive large eyes and a rounded body. The ventral side is white, and the dorsal side is black, edged with a thin blue line. The ventral and dorsal sides are separated by a golden/yellow ~~half-lateral~~ half-lateral line (9). The dotted vertical line is usually on the ventral side and sometimes extends above the ~~half-lateral~~ half-lateral line. Additional fins are bright yellow with thick black edges (Figure 3).



Figure 3. *Tunaobesus* / Bigeye Tuna / BET

3.1.4. Length and Weight Relationship

MDPI records the number of small fish (for example those <10kg) according to their species in small fish subsampling. Weight is recorded per basket, not per unit of fish. Previously, MDPI provided the composition of small fish in terms of number of fish, but it

Comment [AJFAR9]: ????

turns out that the composition by weight is much more informative for fisheries management purposes. In addition, MDPI cannot determine the species composition of the total catch, only arriving at the species composition of catches >10kg. To overcome this problem, a minnow conversion factor has been added to the I-Fish system. The conversion factor calculates the weight (W) of fish in a sub-sampling of small fish from the length (L) of the fish using the length-weight relationship:

$$W = a \times L^b$$

Where W is weight, L is length and a and b are coefficients. For each species in the I-Fish database, a and b values must be calculated. The sample data entered to calculate the a and b values are the length and weight values collected from 2019 to 2020 for each tuna species, namely as follows, 876 Yellow Fin Tuna fish, 467 Skipjack fish (*Katsuwonus pelamis*) and 430 Big Eye Tuna fish (*Tuna obesus*) from all locations. The following values are the a and b values selected for each species:

YFT : a = 1,18E-05; b = 3,08
 SKJ : a = 6.1E-05 ; b = 2.71
 BUT : a = 2,3E-05 ; b = 2,97

These a and b values are integrated into the I-Fish system with the following process:

1. Calculate the weight of each fish using the formula above by calculating the a value and b value according to the species. More details can be seen in Table 1.

Table 1. Calculation Results of Length and Weight of Tuna Fish Landed in FLBLonrae Bone Regency South Sulawesi Province

Species	Long	Weight Calculation (W=aL ^b)	Final Weight	Total Weight (kg)
YFT	40		1.42	4.91
	45	$W = 1E-05L^{3,0833}$	1.92	
	35	$W = 1E-05L^{3,0833}$	1.25	
	22	$W = 1E-05L^{3,0833}$	0.32	
BUT	46	$W=2E-05L^{2,9716}$	2.00	2.00
SKJ	60	$W=6E-05L^{2,7095}$	4.30	9.93
	37	$W=6E-05L^{2,7095}$	1.02	
	40	$W=6E-05L^{2,7095}$	1.29	
	50	$W=6E-05L^{2,7095}$	3.32	
Total Weight of All Fish				16.84

2. The calculation result species composition from sub-sampling of small fish with use percentages.

Formula:

Percentage of species X = (weight of species X / total weight of small fish sample)*100

$$\text{YFT Percentage} = (4.91/16.84)*100 = 29.2\%$$

$$\text{BET Percentage} = (2/16.84)*100 = 11.8\%$$

Comment [AJFAR10]: What is the relationship of MDPI with your research? is the data is primary or secondary data?

Comment [AJFAR11]: This is different from what written the method! Which one did you use?

Comment [AJFAR12]: ??? what do you mean with all locations? What location?

Comment [AJFAR13]: ????????

Comment [AJFAR14]: ?????
Please describe first in the method, what does it mean??

Comment [AJFAR15]: ?????
Please describe first in the method, what does it mean??

$$\text{SKJ Percentage} = (9.93/16.84) \times 100 = 58.97\%$$

3. Apply the percentages calculated above to the total weight of all small fish

To get the weight of different species <10kg

Total weight of all small fish = 3200kg

Yellow fin tuna (YFT) weight = $3200 \times (29.2/100) = 934.4\text{kg}$

Big eye tuna (BET) weight = $3200 \times (11.8/100) = 377.6\text{kg}$

Skipjack tuna (SKJ) weight = $3200 \times (58.97/100) = 1887\text{kg}$

Comment [AJFAR16]: ??????Please describe first in the method, what does it mean??

3.1.5. Relationship Between Length and Weight of Fish

Based on the results of the analysis of the relationship between length and weight during 1 year of research (August 2019–July 2020) for each species of tuna there are 876 YFT fish, 467 SKJ fish (Skipjacj) and 430 BET (Bigeye tuna) fish. The relationship between the length and weight of each type of tuna can be seen in Pictures 4, 5 and 6.

Comment [AJFAR17]: Is that the same with above data?




Figure 4. Length-Weight Relationship of Yellowfin Tuna

The results of the analysis of the relationship between length and weight of 876 Yellowfin tuna fish obtained a value of $b = 3.08$ indicating positive allometric growth where weight growth was faster than length growth (10). Coefficient of determination $R^2 = 0.98$ indicates that the fish length factor will influence fish weight by 98%, while around 2% is influenced by other factors not included in the model. This model is quite good at explaining the relationship between length and weight of Yellowfin tuna fish.




Figure 5. Relationship between Length and Weight of Skipjack Tuna

Based on Figure 5, the relationship between length and weight of 467 Skipjack Tuna fish (*Katsuwonus pelamis*), a b value of 2.71 was obtained, indicating positive allometric growth where weight growth was faster than length growth. (11) Coefficient of determination $R^2 = 0.87$ shows that the fish length factor will affect the weight of the fish by 87%, while around 13% is affected by other factors that are not included in the model. This model explains quite well the relationship between length and weight of Skipjack tuna.




Figure 6. Relationship between Length and Weight of Big Eye Tuna

The results of the analysis of the relationship between length and weight of 430 Bigeye tuna fish obtained a value of $b = 2.97$ indicating positive allometric growth where weight growth was faster than length growth (12). Coefficient of determination $R^2 = 0.98$ indicates that the fish length factor will influence fish weight by 98%, while around 2% is influenced by other factors not included in the model. This model is quite good at explaining the relationship between length and weight of Bigeye tuna fish.

[You have not discussed the result???](#)

4. CONCLUSION

The composition of tuna species landed at Fish Landing Base (FLB) Lonrae, Bone Regency, South Sulawesi Province consists of 3 species, namely Yellowfin Tuna (*Thunnus albacore*), Bigeye Tuna (*Thunnus obesus*) and Skipjack (*Katsuwonus pelamis*). Composition of tuna species Yellowfin tuna = 29.2%, Bigeye tuna = 11.8% and Skipjack tuna = 58.97%. The relationship between length and weight of tuna fish is obtained as allometric growth where the growth in weight is faster than the growth in length.

REFERENCES

1. Lubis E. Institutional model of fish auction refunctionalization in Indonesian fishing ports. AACL Bioflux [Internet]. 2017;10(6):1456–65. Available from: https://api.elsevier.com/content/abstract/scopus_id/85048480525
2. Isharyanto JE. Management of Fisheries Resources within the Framework of the Regional Autonomy Law. J IlmHuk Dan Din ... [Internet]. 2018; Available from: <http://jurnal.untagsmg.ac.id/index.php/hdm/article/view/638>
3. Danial H, Syahrul SS, Yusuf M. A model of fish marketing at Paotere Fishing Ports for increasing fishermen's income. International Journal of Development Research. 2018.
4. Daron FA, Manoppo L, ... Composition of hand line tuna catches at the Samudera Bitung fishing port, North Sulawesi. J Science and ... [Internet]. 2014; Available from: <https://ejournal.unsrat.ac.id/index.php/JITPT/article/view/6962>
5. Nazir M. Research Methods, fourth printing. Jakarta: Ghalia Indonesia. 1999.
6. Agustina M, Sulistyarningsih RK, ... LENGTH-WEIGHT RELATIONSHIP AND CONDITION FACTORS OF YELLOWFIN TUNA (*Thunnus albacares* Bonnatere, 1788) LANDED IN PRIGI, EAST JAVA. BAWAL WidyaRis ... [Internet]. 2020; Available from: <http://ejournal-balitbang.kkp.go.id/index.php/bawal/article/view/9190>
7. Kara A. Length-weight and length-length relations for 21 fish species caught in izmir bay. Acta Adriat [Internet]. 2020;61(2):197–204. Available from: https://api.elsevier.com/content/abstract/scopus_id/85100583999
8. Ayuningtias I. Identification of yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*), mackerel tuna (*Euthynnus affinis*), and skipjack tuna (*Katsuwonus pelamis*) using deep learning [Internet]. Vol. 944, IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science. 2021. Available from: https://api.elsevier.com/content/abstract/scopus_id/85121509195
9. Putri A. Effect of climate change on the distribution of skipjack tuna *Katsuwonus pelamis* catch in the Bone Gulf, Indonesia, during the southeast monsoon. AACL Bioflux [Internet]. 2018;11(2):439–51. Available from: https://api.elsevier.com/content/abstract/scopus_id/85045098011
10. Yosuva M. Length-weight relationship and relative condition factor of yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacares*) from Parangipettai coast, southeast coast of India. Zool Ecol [Internet]. 2018;28(2):94–9. Available from: https://api.elsevier.com/content/abstract/scopus_id/85045832126
11. Sudrajat DS, Husen S, Putra AK. The relationship between length and weight in skipjack tuna (*Katsuwonus pelamis*) in the context of fisheries management in the waters of East Nusa Tenggara. J Researcher ... [Internet]. 2021; Available from:

Comment [AJFAR18]: Please write the proper reference list.

- <http://ejournal-balitbang.kkp.go.id/index.php/jppi/article/view/9949>
12. Ahmad F, Dewanti LP, Arnenda GL, ... Length-weight relationship and catch size of bigeye tuna (*Thunnusobesus*) landed in Benoa, Bali, Indonesia. *World News Nat* ... [Internet]. 2019; Available from: <https://yadda.icm.edu.pl/yadda/element/bwmeta1.element.agro-10ef9128-289f-4ea9-9e2a-80ae7d7957e2>

UNDER PEER REVIEW