

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_106970
Title of the Manuscript:	TABI TABI PO: EXPLORATION OF PHILIPPINE LITERATURE A CORPUS-BASED STUDY
Type of the Article	Shorter Fiction, Narrative Techniques

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The article is well-written on the objective chosen for the study. It may be a good contribution to the body of Philippine literature. I personally feel that any word related to 'Narratology' may be added in the title. The Abstract of the article is comprehensive. But there is an abstract sentence that should be rewritten for better comprehension. The structure is tautly-knit and the manuscript is appropriate too. Yes, it is. Recent references are added in the body of the text. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes, the language used in the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	There is a repetition of sentences/ideas in the body of the article. Phrases like 'the study aims/focuses' are repeated most of the times. Such sentences are to be rephrased.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Talanki Jeevan Kumar
Department, University & Country	Government College, India