

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJARR_106970 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | TABI TABI PO: EXPLORATION OF PHILIPPINE LITERATURE A CORPUS-BASED STUDY |
| Type of the Article | Journal Article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>Yes, it is essential for the scientific community of corpus-based studies. This study provides a comparative perspectives in various literature texts.</p> <p>The title is indeed suitable, however, do consider if Tabi Tabi Po should be included.</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive enough to enable readers to understand the aim of the research and the major findings.</p> <p>The subsections and manuscript structure are appropriate.</p> <p>It adopts the corpus-based approach and narrative analysis.</p> <p>The references are sufficient. Do consider to add in a few more recent past 5 years studies as well.</p> <p>Please align the formatting of Tables. Perhaps they are all Tables instead of Figures (Figure 1 & 2). Do consider them as Tables and the Titles for each Table can be more clearly elaborated. As for the Results and Discussion, perhaps a paragraph or two should relate the background to the results before presenting the Table.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>The language quality suffices for scholarly communication. Please check for typos and some grammar use. Some structures could be simplified for better coherence to promote better comprehension of the concepts.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>Please include some critical discussion in the Results and Discussion section. Also, please include a section of Conclusion and perhaps even a Recommendation.</p> | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Ng Yu Jin |
| Department, University & Country | Universiti Tenaga Nasional, Malaysia |