

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_109002</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Ultimate Analysis of Water Chestnut (<i>Trapa natans</i> Var. <i>bispinosa</i> Roxb) Affected by Various Inorganic and Organic Sources</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Plant constituents are key in determining its nutritional value. Research is carried out in order to advise the general on the possible health benefits of consuming certain plants.</b></p> <p>2. <b>Okay</b></p> <p>3. <b>The abstract is badly done. The researchers should include the background and the research gap. The aims, objectives, methodology, and conclusions are also missing.</b></p> <p>4. <b>Appropriate</b></p> <p>5. <b>Correct</b></p> <p>6. <b>References should be within 3 years of the study to keep up with the latest research trends</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Fine	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Address, the aims and objectives followed by results. Then tell the readers what you make from the findings in the conclusions	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> No</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shumba Tobias Takawira</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Harare Polytechnic, Zimbabwe</b>