

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_101984</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>CHARACTER ASSOCIATION AND PATH CO-EFFICIENT ANALYSIS AMONG INBRED LINES OF PEARL MILLET (<i>Pennisetum glaucum</i> (L.) R. BR.)</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>This study is important since pearl millet is a real sustainable option for agroecosystems under hydric stress. Food security and income generation for small farmers and their households should be an important issue on plant breeding programs.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes; however, there are a couple of things to be fixed. Talawar et al., 2017 is cited in text but not listed in Ref. And, Vinodana et al., 2013 is listed in References but it is not cited in text.</p> <p>Recent references should be added</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Proofreading should be improved.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Suggested corrections are marked in the manuscript, red to delete, green to add and yellow to observe.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Ignacio Carranza Cerda</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Colegio de Postgraduados, México</b>