

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_108353
Title of the Manuscript:	WEED POPULATION DYNAMICS UNDER ORGANIC, BIO-DYNAMIC, CONVENTIONAL Bt AND NON-Bt COTTON (<i>Gossypium hirsutum</i>)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, Cotton is the most important commercial crop cultivated in India. This information helps to the scientific community for carrying out the research in these aspects.</p> <p>Suitable</p> <p>Entire abstract has to be changed abstract wont contain any headings. It should be in simple 500 words. Yes, it is fine</p> <p>Yes, but latest statistical data on area and production productivity in india and other major states should be included, and also should be included reasons for declining reasons for yields. In introduction few lines regarding bio-dynamic should be included.</p> <p>Yes, still few more references can be added as the research paper is on 3 to 4 different aspects.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, but still refinement of the language should be done, comments included in the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The paper is more in general can be corrected by including the treatment values in the results and discussion instead of saying table no. The final conclusion should be given in farmer point of view as our main aim is farmers happiness.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thejavath Laxman
Department, University & Country	Professor Jayashankar Telanganastate Agricultural University, India