

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_108274
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluate the effect of different packaging materials on shelf life and quality of banana fruit
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes, it is very rewarding for scientific community and post-harvest techniques.</b></p> <p>2. <b>Yes, suitable. (Alternative Title: Effect of post-harvest treatments on shelf-life and ripening behaviour of banana fruits cv. Grand naine)</b></p> <p>3. <b>Yes, Abstract is the article comprehensive.</b></p> <p>4. <b>Yes, Subsections and Structure is appropriate.</b></p> <p>5. <b>Yes, Manuscript is scientifically correct.</b></p> <p>6. <b>Yes, References are sufficient and recent and appropriate to manuscript.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes, language/English quality is of the article suitable for scholarly communications.</b></p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. In the abstract Department of Horticulture instead of department of horticultures.</p> <p>2. In the keywords Grand naine instead of GrandNaine.</p> <p>3. In the introduction space between full stop and banana instead of (NHB, 2015).Bananas.</p> <p>4. In the 2.4.1.3 after space for Fruit volume instead of 2.4.1.3Fruit volume (ml)</p> <p>5. In the 2.4.2. after space for Chemical Parameter instead of 2.4.2.Chemical Parameters</p> <p>6. In the 2.4.2.1. Pulp pH instead of Pulp ph</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dalvadi Ankur Chandulal</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>B.R.S. College, India</b>