

**OCCURRENCE OF VIRULENT AND ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANT *Staphylococcus aureus* IN SELECTED READY-TO-EAT FOODS IN OBIO/AKPOR, RIVERS STATE.**

---

**ABSTRACT**

**Aims:** Ready-to-eat (RTE) foods contaminated with *Staphylococcus aureus* or its heat stable toxins have been implicated in food borne illnesses lately and now a public health concern. This study is aimed at determining the microbial safety of RTE snacks (meat-pie, Egg-roll, Doughnut, Burns and Puff-puff) sold in Obio/Akpor LGA, Rivers State.

**Study design:** This work is based on a completely randomized design with two replications and the average values calculated for the mean comparison.

**Place and Duration of Study:** Imadavistic Laboratory, Osaks House, East-West Road Port Harcourt, Nigeria, between December 2021 and November, 2022.

**Methodology:** A total of 100 samples of RTE food Snacks were randomly purchased and examined for proximate composition, microbial quality, occurrence of antibiotic resistant and virulent gene using standard conventional and molecular methods.

**Results:** Puff-puff had the highest moisture, fiber and ash content while egg-roll had the highest crude fat, also meat-pie had the highest carbohydrate and protein content. Microbial counts ranged from  $3.5 \times 10^3$  to  $1.5 \times 10^6$  cfu/g with 44 samples unsatisfactory and 39 at borderline by food regulatory standard. Presumptive *S. aureus* count ranged from  $1.4 \times 10^3$  to  $4.6 \times 10^6$  cfu/g, with 5 classified as potentially hazardous, 14 as unsatisfactory and 49 at borderline, 16 as satisfactory, and 16 with no detection. The 34 confirmed *S. aureus* showed varying resistance to cloxacillin 31 (91.18%), cefuroxime 28 (82.35%), ceftazidime and erythromycin 25 (73.53%), gentamicin 18 (52.94%) and augmentin 13 (38.24%). Multi-drug resistant ranged from 3 to 5 antibiotic class. Nine isolates produced the expected band of 250bp with *SEA* while 3 produced the band of 400bp with *SEB*.

**Conclusion:** There is a correlation of statistically significant difference ( $p < 0.5$ ) between the four types of RTE food and enterotoxin A, therefore confirming that RTE foods serves as a reservoir of antibiotic resistant and virulence gene bearing *S. aureus*.

*Keywords: Snacks, Staphylococcus aureus, virulence genes*

**1. INTRODUCTION**

Ready-to-eat (RTE) foods are foods and beverages that can be purchased directly from restaurants, street vendors or hawkers and consumed immediately or later either raw, cooked, hot, or chilled without further processing [1, 2]. Ready-to-eat foods also include nuts

in the shell and entire, raw fruits and vegetables that are intended for hulling, peeling, or washing by the consumer, but do not contain nuts in the shell or whole, raw fruits and vegetables that are designed for hulling, peeling, or washing by the consumer [3]. Pastries, jollof rice, porridge beans, egg, tuna, chicken, potato, and macaroni, and shawarma are examples of ready-to-eat dishes [4].

Consumption of ready-to-eat foods has increased in Nigeria recently due to their low cost, ease of access and convenience. Furthermore, they are affordable, readily available, provide a diverse food source, employment, and have the potential to improve food security, national status, and general social security [5]. A significant portion of the urban population relies on snacks for lunch at work or, occasionally, just to satisfy their hunger. Humans no longer have time to prepare meals at home due to frantic pace of metropolitan living. As a result of having less time, people are compelled to alter their eating habits, schedules, and preferences [6].

Foodborne diseases resulting from unsanitary food processing methods are becoming more common [7]. Most microbial etiologic agents of foodborne diseases have developed antibiotic resistance [8]. The spread of antibiotic resistance genes to other pathogenic organisms has contributed to food poisoning, increased morbidity, and mortality rates [9]. The current high incidence of *S. aureus* in ready-to-eat food may be linked to its tolerance to heat, drying, and radiation following its ability to produce heat stable and powerful enterotoxin [10, 11]. Food poisoning caused by staphylococcal enterotoxins produced in food by enterotoxigenic strains of coagulase-positive staphylococci, primarily *S. aureus*, is one of the most common food-borne disorders globally [12]. Due to the thermal stability of staphylococcal enterotoxins, they may be present in food when *S. aureus* is not present [13]. Enterotoxins are proteins generated by some staphylococci strains that if allowed to develop in foods, can produce enough enterotoxin to cause illness when the contaminated food is eaten. The enterotoxins, among the many metabolites produced by staphylococci, pose the biggest threat to consumers health [14, 15]. There is no effective long-term decolonization therapy for *S. aureus* carriers, but can be eradicated from the nose in a few weeks, with antibiotics, and relapses are likely within a few months [16].

The present study aimed to assess the quality and nutritive values of RTE snacks food as well as the presence of virulence bearing and antibiotic resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*.

## **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

### **2.1 Study Area**

The Obio/Akpor LGA, Rivers State is located between latitudes 4° 50' 08.24" N and 4° 52' 20.49" N and longitudes 7° 02' 18.48" E and 7° 06' 05.20" E as illustrated in Fig.1. The Local Government Area which is mainly constituted by the people of Ikwerre Ethnic nationality covers 260 km<sup>2</sup> and at the 2006 Census held a population of 464,789 [17].




Figure 1: Map of Obio/Akpor Local Government Area, Rivers State, Nigeria.

## 2.2 Sample collection

A total of 100 samples of ready-to-eat snacks food comprising of meat pie, egg roll, burns, puff- puff, and dough-nut were randomly purchased from vendors in different wards in Obio/Akpor L.G.A (Rumuolumeni, Ogbogoro, Elioazu, Choba, Rumuokoro, Rumuigbo, Rumuokwuta, Agip, Rumuola, Rumumasi), Rivers State. Ten samples of each distinct ready-to-eat snacks food were purchased from different wards and placed in a sterile Ziploc bag, and transported in the pack to the laboratory for analysis within 3 h.

## 2.3 Proximate analysis

The percentage moisture content, crude fibre, crude fat, ash content, protein content and carbohydrate content were examined using the methods described by AOAC [18].

## 2.4 Microbiological Analysis

### 2.4.1 Sample Preparation and Isolation of *S. aureus*

Ten grams (10g) of each sample was weighed aseptically into a sterile stomacher bag containing 90 ml of sterile 0.1% peptone. The contents were homogenized using a

stomacher (M A 106402, France, 450 to 640 strokes per minute) for 2 min. The mixture was allowed to stand for 5 min at room temperature ( $29\pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ ). The contents were transferred into sterile flasks and thoroughly mixed by shaking before a ten-fold serial dilution [19]. Aliquots (0.1 ml) from  $10^{-2}$  and  $10^{-3}$  dilution were spread on duplicated plates of Nutrient agar and Mannitol salt agar that has been cooled to approximately  $40\text{-}45^{\circ}\text{C}$  after which the plates were incubated at  $29\pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 24-48 h. Plates with distinct white to yellow glistening, smooth circular colonies which indicated fermentation of mannitol by an organism were purified by sub-culturing on freshly prepared Nutrient agar plates and Mannitol salt agar to obtain pure colonies [20, 21]. Resulting pure colonies were stored in nutrient agar slants and kept in refrigerator pending confirmation.

#### **2.4.2 Confirmation of isolates**

Identification of the isolates was based on cultural morphology, physiological (Gram's stain) and biochemical (Catalase, coagulase) characteristics [22, 23, 24].

### **2. 5 Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) Extraction**

DNA was extracted using the boiling method described by Oliveira et al. [25], with slight modifications. 150  $\mu\text{L}$  Phosphate buffered saline (PBS) was pipetted into a sterile 1.5ml Eppendorf tube. A loopful of bacterial colony suspended from an overnight culture was suspended in 150  $\mu\text{L}$  of phosphate buffered saline which was vortexed at 14000rpm for 10 s. The bacterial solution was heated in water bath at  $100^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 15 min; chilled in ice for 15 min and allowed to thaw at  $37^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The Tubes were again centrifuged at 14000 rpm for 5 min and the supernatants was pipetted into another labeled tube and used as a template DNA in PCR.

#### **2.6 Detection of *SEA* and *SEB* virulence genes using polymerase chain reaction (PCR)**

Polymerase Chain Reaction assay was used to detect virulence genes that encodes the production of *SEA* and *SEB* from the extracted DNA of *S. aureus*[26]. Detection of the primers used for the detection of virulence gene *SEA* forward primer was with F 5'-TGCAGGGAACAGCTTTAGGC- 3' and R 5' -GTGTACCACCCGCACATTGA -3', *SEB* F 5'-ATTCTATTAAGGACACTAAGTTAGGG - 3', and R-5'ATCCCGTTTCATAAGGCGAGT- 3' with a yield of 250bp and 400bp *SEA* and *SEB*, respectively [27, 28]. All PCR amplifications were performed in 25  $\mu\text{L}$  which contained cocktail mix buffer(10X) 2.5 ( $\mu\text{l}$ ), 25mM Mgcl<sub>2</sub> 1.0 ( $\mu\text{l}$ ), 5pMol forward primer, 5pMol reverse primer, 1.0 ( $\mu\text{l}$ ) each, DMSO 1.0 ( $\mu\text{l}$ ), 2.5Mm dNTPs 2.0 Vol ( $\mu\text{l}$ ), Taq 5u/ul, 0.1 ( $\mu\text{l}$ ), 10ng/ $\mu\text{l}$  DNA, 3.0 ( $\mu\text{l}$ ), and H<sub>2</sub>O 13.4 ( $\mu\text{l}$ ). PCR cycling conditions were performed as follows: Initial denaturation at  $94^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 5 min, second DNA denaturation at  $94^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 15 sec, annealing at  $65^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 20 sec, extension at  $72^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 30 s at 9 cycles, for 35 cycles denaturation at  $94^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 15 s, annealing at  $55^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 20 s, extension at  $72^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 30 s, and final extension at  $72^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 7 min. The resulting amplicons were resolved by gel electrophoresis using a Portable Gel hood built in Blue LED (470nm) by Royal Biotech/Biolymphics in a 1.5% (w/v) agarose gel run at 100 volts for 40 minutes in a 0.5X TAE buffer. The gels were visualized by Ethidium bromide staining and photographed under ultraviolet light. The ladder used is 100 kb base pair ladder from thermo scientific.

#### **2.7 Antibiotics sensitivity test**

The Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute [29] disc diffusion method was used for the antibiotic sensitivity test. The inoculum was prepared from 18 h old broth culture of each isolate and their absorbance was adjusted to 0.5 McFarland standard. Inoculum size (0.1mL) was spread on Mueller-Hinton agar and the antibiotic disc ( $\mu\text{g}$ ) comprising ceftazidime (30), cefuroxime (30), gentamycin (10), ceftriaxone (30), erythromycin (5), cloxacillin (5), ofloxacin (5), augmentin (30) (Abtek Biological Ltd, UK) was aseptically placed at equidistance of the plates. Plates were incubated at  $29\pm 2^\circ\text{C}$  for 18 to 24h. The clear zones that developed around each disc were measured using a scale rule and results were recorded and compared with the zones of inhibition on the basis of CLSI [29]. The Multiple Antibiotic Resistance (MAR) index of the isolates was calculated as a/b, where “a” represents the number of antibiotics to which the particular isolate was resistant to and “b” represents the number of antibiotics to which the isolate was exposed.

## 2.8 Statistical analysis

Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) ver. 25.0 (IBM, New York, USA) program was used for statistical analysis. Standard error of mean was used to determine the difference of mean among the different ready-to-eat snacks food, determine the correlations amongst the RTE food types and enterotoxin A and B using Pearson chi-squared(R) test to test the differences with significance of  $p < 0.05$ .

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Percentage Proximate Composition of Ready-to-eat Snacks.

The proximate compositions of the ready-to-eat (RTE) snacks are presented in Table 1. The percentage moisture content was highest in puff-puff 20.30 and lowest in egg-roll 8.04. The percentage crude fiber was highest in puff-puff 12.0 and lowest in egg-roll 4.58. The percentage crude fat content was highest in egg-roll 21.06 and lowest in doughnut 5.92. The percentage ash content was highest in egg-roll, 20.85 and lowest in buns 2.37. The percentage protein content was highest in meat-pie 4.18 and lowest in buns 3.14. The percentage carbohydrate content was highest in meat-pie 70.73 and lowest in puff-puff 4.10.

Table 1: Percentage proximate composition of ready-to-eat snacks.

Food Type	Parameters (Mean $\pm$ SEM)					
	Moisture Content	Crude fibres	Crude fat	Ash content	Protein content	Carbohydrate
Puff-puff	20.30 $\pm$ 0.12	12.0 $\pm$ 0.25	12.57 $\pm$ 0.26	14.18 $\pm$ 0.23	4.09 $\pm$ 0.06	4.10 $\pm$ 0.06
Doughnut	11.20 $\pm$ 0.16	11.10 $\pm$ 0.22	5.92 $\pm$ 0.08	10.99 $\pm$ 0.22	4.16 $\pm$ 0.25	55.23 $\pm$ 0.29
Buns	7.12 $\pm$ 0.25	11.29 $\pm$ 0.26	7.27 $\pm$ 0.14	2.37 $\pm$ 0.29	3.14 $\pm$ 0.10	67.39 $\pm$ 0.26
Meat-pie	5.40 $\pm$ 0.12	10.58 $\pm$ 0.09	6.71 $\pm$ 0.11	2.43 $\pm$ 0.09	4.18 $\pm$ 0.04	70.73 $\pm$ 0.15
Egg-roll	8.04 $\pm$ 0.10	4.58 $\pm$ 0.11	14.06 $\pm$ 0.15	20.85 $\pm$ 0.14	3.17 $\pm$ 0.10	49.35 $\pm$ 0.18

### 3.2 Heterotrophic bacteria count and conformity with standard guideline

The mean heterotrophic bacteria count on nutrient agar from the locations sampled are shown in Table 2. The results revealed the following ranges (cfu/g) for meat-pie ( $3.7 \times 10^4$  to  $1.5 \times 10^6$ ), egg-roll ( $4.8 \times 10^3$  to  $8.4 \times 10^5$ ), doughnut ( $3.5 \times 10^3$  to  $9.4 \times 10^5$ ), puff-puff ( $6.4 \times 10^3$  to  $8.8 \times 10^5$ ), and buns ( $4.2 \times 10^3$  to  $9.1 \times 10^5$ ). Meat-pie had the highest upper count ( $1.5 \times 10^6$  cfu/g), followed by doughnut ( $9.4 \times 10^5$  cfu/g) while the least was doughnut ( $3.5 \times 10^3$  cfu/g). The conformity of the count with International Commission on Microbiological Specifications on RTE Snacks is presented in Table 3. Seventeen percent (17%) of the samples were satisfactory, 39% were at the borderlines while 44% were unsatisfactory.

### 3.3 *Staphylococcus* count

Table 4 Shows the mean presumptive *Staphylococcus* count on Mannitol salt agar. The results revealed the following counts (cfu/g) ranges for meat-pie ( $1.8 \times 10^3$  to  $4.6 \times 10^5$ ), egg-roll ( $1.3 \times 10^3$  to  $3.3 \times 10^5$ ), doughnut ( $1.6 \times 10^3$  to  $4.8 \times 10^4$ ), puff-puff ( $1.4 \times 10^3$  to  $3.8 \times 10^5$ ) and buns ( $1.4 \times 10^3$  to  $6.8 \times 10^4$ ). The highest mean presumptive *Staphylococcus* count was observed in meat-pie  $4.6 \times 10^5$  (cfu/g), followed by puff-puff  $3.8 \times 10^5$  (cfu/g), and was lowest in buns and puff-puff  $1.4 \times 10^3$  (cfu/g), respectively. The conformity with International Commission on Microbiological Specifications on RTE snacks for presumptive *S. aureus* counts are presented in Table 5. Among 100 samples, 32% were satisfactory, 49% were borderline, 14% were unsatisfactory and 5% were at potentially hazardous level.

**Table2: TotalHeterotrophicBacteriaCount(cfug-1)inReady-to-EatSnacksFoodfromDifferentwardin Obio/AkporL.G. A**

	A		B		C		D		E		F		G		H		I		J	
SAMP LE	W <sub>1</sub>	W <sub>2</sub>	W <sub>1</sub>	W <sub>2</sub>	W <sub>1</sub>	W <sub>2</sub>	W <sub>1</sub>	W <sub>2</sub>	W <sub>1</sub>	W <sub>2</sub>	W <sub>1</sub>	W <sub>2</sub>	W <sub>1</sub>	W <sub>2</sub>	W <sub>1</sub>	W <sub>2</sub>	W <sub>1</sub>	W <sub>2</sub>	W <sub>1</sub>	W <sub>2</sub>
Meat	6.6x	TFT	9.4x	9.7x	3.7x	5.5x	3.5x	TFT	TFT	3.0X	TFT	6.0X	TFT	5.4X	5.9X	3.1X	3.9	4.7X	9.2X	8.6X
Pie	10 <sup>4</sup>		10 <sup>5</sup>	10 <sup>5</sup>	10 <sup>4</sup>	10 <sup>4</sup>	10 <sup>5</sup>	C	C	10 <sup>5</sup>	C	10 <sup>5</sup>	C	10 <sup>5</sup>	10 <sup>5</sup>	10 <sup>5</sup>	X0 5	10 <sup>5</sup>	10 <sup>5</sup>	10 <sup>5</sup>
Egg- Roll	6.0X 10 <sup>5</sup>	9.2X 10 <sup>4</sup>	5.2X 10 <sup>3</sup>	4.8X 10 <sup>3</sup>	8.7X 10 <sup>4</sup>	6.4X 10 <sup>4</sup>	TFT C	5.5X 10 <sup>4</sup>	TFT C	TFT C	4.0X 10 <sup>5</sup>	9.6X 10 <sup>4</sup>	4.4X 10 <sup>5</sup>	1.0X 10 <sup>5</sup>	6.4X 10 <sup>4</sup>	5.6X 10 <sup>5</sup>	6.4 X1 0 <sup>4</sup>	8.4X 10 <sup>5</sup>	8.1X 10 <sup>5</sup>	6.8X 10 <sup>5</sup>
Dough Nut	9.4X 10 <sup>5</sup>	6.6X 10 <sup>5</sup>	3.7X 10 <sup>3</sup>	3.5X 10 <sup>3</sup>	3.9X 10 <sup>4</sup>	6.4X 10 <sup>3</sup>	3.1X 10 <sup>4</sup>	TFT C	4.1X 10 <sup>4</sup>	5.4X 10 <sup>3</sup>	8.0X 10 <sup>5</sup>	7.3X 10 <sup>5</sup>	8.9X 10 <sup>5</sup>	6.1X 10 <sup>5</sup>	8.1X 10 <sup>4</sup>	4.5X 10 <sup>4</sup>	8.1 X1 0 <sup>4</sup>	7.4X 10 <sup>4</sup>	7.9X 10 <sup>4</sup>	8.4X 10 <sup>5</sup>
Puff- Puff	8.0X 10 <sup>4</sup>	4.9X 10 <sup>4</sup>	TFT C	4.7X 10 <sup>4</sup>	1.0X 10 <sup>5</sup>	9.2X 10 <sup>4</sup>	4.0X 10 <sup>4</sup>	3.6X 10 <sup>4</sup>	4.7X 10 <sup>4</sup>	6.4X 10 <sup>3</sup>	TFT C	8.8X 10 <sup>5</sup>	TFT C	TFT C	8.7X 10 <sup>5</sup>	TFT C	8.7 X1 0 <sup>5</sup>	9.2X 10 <sup>5</sup>	7.7X 10 <sup>5</sup>	8.4X 10 <sup>4</sup>
Burns	5.3X 10 <sup>5</sup>	3.0X 10 <sup>5</sup>	TFT C	6.9X 10 <sup>4</sup>	TFT C	8.4X 10 <sup>4</sup>	2.3X 10 <sup>5</sup>	4.2X 10 <sup>3</sup>	TFT C	TFT C	1.5X 10 <sup>6</sup>	4.4X 10 <sup>5</sup>	8.8X 10 <sup>5</sup>	7.2X 10 <sup>5</sup>	8.2X 10 <sup>4</sup>	9.9X 10 <sup>5</sup>	8.2 X1 0 <sup>4</sup>	8.6X 10 <sup>3</sup>	1.1X 10 <sup>4</sup>	9.4X 10 <sup>5</sup>

A: Rumuolumeni, B: Ogbogoro, C: Elioizu, D: Choba, E: Rumuokoro, F: Rumuigbo, G: Rumuokwuta, H: Rumuokwursi, I: Agip, J: Rumumasi. W1 means ward 1, W2 means ward 2, TFTC-Too Few to count

Table 3: Microbiological guideline for Classification of Ready-to-eat food by Heterotrophic count

RTE Food	Satisfactory <10 <sup>3</sup>	Borderline 10 <sup>3</sup> ≤10 <sup>5</sup>	Unsatisfactory ≥10 <sup>5</sup>	Total Number
Meat	5(25%)	1(5%)	14(70%)	20
Egg-roll	3(15%)	9(45%)	8(40%)	20
Doughnut	1(5%)	12(60%)	7(35%)	20
Puff-puff	5(25%)	10(50%)	5(25%)	20
Burns	3(15%)	7(35%)	10(50%)	20
Total	17	39	44	100

TFTC= Too few to count.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**Table4: Total *Staphylococcus aureus* count (cfug<sup>-1</sup>) on Mannitol Salt Agar**

	A		B		C		D		E		F		G		H		I		J	
SAMPL E	W <sub>1</sub>	W <sub>2</sub>	W <sub>1</sub>	W <sub>2</sub>	W <sub>1</sub>	W <sub>2</sub>	W <sub>1</sub>	W <sub>2</sub>	W <sub>1</sub>	W <sub>2</sub>	W <sub>1</sub>	W <sub>2</sub>	W <sub>1</sub>	W <sub>2</sub>	W <sub>1</sub>	W <sub>2</sub>	W <sub>1</sub>	W <sub>2</sub>	W <sub>1</sub>	W <sub>2</sub>
Meatpie	4.2x 10 <sup>3</sup>	3.7x 10 <sup>3</sup>	1.8x 10 <sup>3</sup>	3.2x 10 <sup>3</sup>	1.1x 10 <sup>4</sup>	8.4x 10 <sup>3</sup>	3.5x 10 <sup>5</sup>	5.0x 10 <sup>3</sup>	1.6x 10 <sup>4</sup>	4.6x 10 <sup>4</sup>	5.9x 10 <sup>3</sup>	6.6x 10 <sup>3</sup>	5.0x 10 <sup>3</sup>	5.9x 10 <sup>3</sup>	5.1x 10 <sup>3</sup>	4.2x 10 <sup>3</sup>	2.6x 10 <sup>3</sup>	2.4x 10 <sup>3</sup>	3.4x 10 <sup>3</sup>	2.9x 10 <sup>3</sup>
Egg-roll	4.1x 10 <sup>3</sup>	3.1x 10 <sup>3</sup>	6.4x 10 <sup>3</sup>	3.7x 10 <sup>3</sup>	5.6x 10 <sup>3</sup>	2.8x 10 <sup>3</sup>	2.4x 10 <sup>4</sup>	5.5x 10 <sup>4</sup>	1.3x 10 <sup>3</sup>	4.0x 10 <sup>3</sup>	2.2x 10 <sup>3</sup>	2.9x 10 <sup>3</sup>	2.5x 10 <sup>3</sup>	3.5x 10 <sup>3</sup>	3.1x 10 <sup>3</sup>	3.3x 10 <sup>5</sup>	2.9x 10 <sup>3</sup>	1.8x 10 <sup>3</sup>	2.2x 10 <sup>3</sup>	3.1x 10 <sup>3</sup>
Dough nut	2.9x 10 <sup>3</sup>	3.5x 10 <sup>3</sup>	2.3x 10 <sup>3</sup>	4.0x 10 <sup>3</sup>	3.1x 10 <sup>4</sup>	4.8x 10 <sup>4</sup>	3.1 X10 <sup>4</sup>	2.1x 10 <sup>4</sup>	2.1x 10 <sup>3</sup>	3.4x 10 <sup>3</sup>	2.1x 10 <sup>3</sup>	3.6x 10 <sup>3</sup>	5.9x 10 <sup>3</sup>	2.1x 10 <sup>3</sup>	3.5x 10 <sup>3</sup>	3.9x 10 <sup>3</sup>	1.7x 10 <sup>3</sup>	1.6x 10 <sup>3</sup>	2.7x 10 <sup>3</sup>	2.5x 10 <sup>3</sup>
Puff-puff	2.8x 10 <sup>3</sup>	2.0x 10 <sup>3</sup>	1.4x 10 <sup>3</sup>	1.9x 10 <sup>3</sup>	2.1x 10 <sup>4</sup>	3.2x 10 <sup>4</sup>	4.0 X10 <sup>4</sup>	3.7x 10 <sup>5</sup>	1.6x 10 <sup>3</sup>	1.7x 10 <sup>3</sup>	1.6x 10 <sup>3</sup>	1.6x 10 <sup>3</sup>	1.8x 10 <sup>3</sup>	1.9x 10 <sup>3</sup>	2.5x 10 <sup>3</sup>	1.8x 10 <sup>3</sup>	2.1x 10 <sup>3</sup>	2.4x 10 <sup>3</sup>	1.7x 10 <sup>3</sup>	2.1x 10 <sup>3</sup>
Burns	1.6x 10 <sup>3</sup>	2.5x 10 <sup>3</sup>	2.7x 10 <sup>4</sup>	3.8x 10 <sup>3</sup>	3.3x 10 <sup>3</sup>	6.8x 10 <sup>4</sup>	3.3x 10 <sup>3</sup>	5.6x 10 <sup>3</sup>	2.0x 10 <sup>3</sup>	5.3x 10 <sup>3</sup>	2.0x 10 <sup>3</sup>	2.6x 10 <sup>3</sup>	2.1x 10 <sup>3</sup>	4.9x 10 <sup>3</sup>	2.1x 10 <sup>3</sup>	1.9x 10 <sup>3</sup>	1.4x 10 <sup>3</sup>	1.5x 10 <sup>3</sup>	2.5x 10 <sup>3</sup>	1.8x 10 <sup>3</sup>

A: Rumuolumeni, B: Ogbogoro, C: Eliozu, D: Choba, E: Rumuokoro, F: Rumuigbo, G: Rumuokwuta, H: Rumuokwursi, I: Agip, J: Rumumasi.

W1 means ward 1, "TFTC" Too Few to count W2 means ward 2

Table 5: Microbiological Guideline for classification of ready-to-eat food by *Staphylococcus aureus* count.

RTE Food	Satisfactory <10 <sup>2</sup>	Borderline 10 <sup>2</sup> -10 <sup>3</sup>	Unsatisfactory 10 <sup>3</sup> ≤10 <sup>4</sup>	Potential hazardous ≥10 <sup>4</sup>	Total Number
Meat	6(30%)	10(50%)	3(15%)	1(5%)	20
Egg-roll	4(20%)	11(55%)	2(10%)	3(15%)	20
Doughnut	7(35%)	9(45%)	4(20%)	-	20
Puff	8(40%)	8(40%)	3(15%)	1(5%)	20
Burns	7(35%)	11(55%)	2(10%)	-	20
Total	32	49	14	5	100

### 3.4 Antimicrobial Susceptibility.

The antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of *S. aureus* from ready-to-eat snacks are presented in Table 6. Resistant was highest in cloxacillin (Penicillin), 31(91.18%), followed by cefuroxime (Cephalosporins), 28(82.35%), ceftazidime (Cephalosporins), and erythromycin (Macrolides), 25(73.53%) while susceptibility was highest in gentamicin (Aminoglycosides), 18(52.94%) and augmentin ((Penicillin), 13(38.24%).

### 3.5 Multi-drug resistant pattern.

The Multi-drug index patterns of *S. aureus* from the ready-to-eat snacks food are presented in Figure 1. Out of the 34 isolates 1 (2.9%) was phenotypically resistant to 1 class with MDR index of 0.13, 6 (17.65%) were resistant to 2 class with MDR (0.25), 12(0.35) to 3 class with MDR (0.38), 13 to 4 class with MDR (0.50), and 2 to 5 class with MDR (0.65).

### 3.6 *Staphylococcus aureus* virulent gene for *SEA* and *SEB* from RTE (snacks) food.

Three isolates produced the band of 400bp with *SEB* while 9 isolates produced the expected band of 250bp with *SEA*, as shown in Plate 1 and 2.


### 3.7 Prevalence of *S. aureus* in ready-to-eat food with respect to *SEA* and *SEB*

The five different RTE snack foods had *S. aureus* prevalence with respect to enterotoxin highest in meat-pie 11(32.35%) and lowest in puff-puff 3(8.82%) with *SEA* number 3 and 2 respectively as seen in Table 7. The correlation between the samples according to enterotoxin A gene using Chi-squared test is shown in Table 8.

Table 6: Susceptibility patterns of *Staphylococcus aureus* from ready-to-eat foods from Obio/Akpor L.G.A.

Antimicrobial group/antibiotics	Resistant	Intermediate	Susceptible
Penicillin(β-lactam)			
Cloxacillin	31(91.18%)	0(0.00%)	3(8.82%)

Augmentin	21(61.76%)	0(0.00%)	13(38.24%)
Cephalosporins( $\beta$ -lactam)			
Cefuroxime	28(82.35%)	2(5.88%)	4(11.77%)
Ceftazidime	25(73.53%)	3(8.82%)	6(17.65%)
Ceftriaxone	19(55.88%)	6(17.65%)	9(26.47%)
Macrolides			
Erythromycin	25(73.53%)	7(20.59%)	2(5.88%)
Aminoglycosides			
Gentamicin	15(44.12%)	1(2.94%)	18(52.94%)
Fluroquinolones			
Ofloxacin	20(58.82%)	3(8.82%)	11(32.35%)






Plate 1: *Staphylococcus* enterotoxin B (*seb*) virulence gene from ready -to - eat snacks. M is 100bp DNA ladder. Lane 1 to 3 are positive isolates




Plate 2: *Staphylococcus* enterotoxin A (*sea*) virulence gene from ready -to - eat snacks. M is 100bp DNA ladder. Lane 2 to 10 are positive isolates.

Table 7: Prevalence of *Staphylococcus aureus* in ready-to-eat food with respect to classical enterotoxin A and B

RTE food type	Total sample	Prevalence number of <i>S. aureus</i> (%)	Number of RTE food with Enterotoxin	
			SE(A)	SE(B)
Meat-pie	(n=20)	11(32.35%)	3	-
Egg-roll	(n=20)	8(23.53%)	1	2
Doughnut	(n=20)	5(14.71%)	2	1
Puff-puff	(n=20)	3(8.82%)	1	-
Burns	(n=20)	7(20.59%)	2	-

Total	100	34(100%)	9	3
-------	-----	----------	---	---

Table 8: Correlation between samples according to enterotoxin A gene expression using chi-square test.

	Value	Df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearsonchi-square	10.000 <sup>a</sup>	8	0.27
LikelihoodRatio	10.549	8	0.27
Linear-by-LinearAssociation	1.795	1	0.18
NofValidCases	5		

a. 15 cells (100.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 0.20.

df = difference; asymp. Sig = asymptomatic significance

The results of the proximate composition of ready-to-eat food sourced from Obio/Akpo presented the nutrient content of food with respect to moisture, ash, fat, crude fibre, protein and carbohydrate content. The determination of the percentage moisture content showed that puff-puff had the highest moisture content of 20.30 among the snack foods examined, while meat-pie had the least (5.40). The percentage moisture content for puff-puff is within the 15.40 and 26.60 previously reported by Israel and Samuel [30] and Pikuda and Ileleboye [6], respectively. The moisture content for meat-pie in this present study is less than the 8.18% reported by Adeyeye and Ayoola [31]. The higher the percentage moisture contents of RTE snacks food, the shorter the shelf-life stability and vis-versa [32, 33]. Moisture is important in human diet because it provides body fluids and help to regulate the body temperature [6]. The percentage crude fiber was also highest in puff-puff (12.00) and lowest in egg-roll (4.58). The value for puff-puff in this study is higher than 0.66% reported in similar work by Israel and Samuel [30]; whereas the meat-pie percentage crude fiber of 4.58 is higher than the 0.76, 3.50, and 0.51% previously reported [30, 31, 34]. The crude fiber contents are advantageous to adults with colon diseases, while it also prevents constipation [35]; it lowers cholesterol levels in the blood and reduce the risk of cancers [36]. The determination of the percentage crude fat content showed that egg-roll had the highest percentage crude fat of 12.57 while doughnut had the lowest percentage crude fat content of 5.92. The value for egg-roll was less than 16.88, 21.50, and 22.09% previously reported [6, 31, 34]. The high percentage crude fat contents of egg-roll could be attributed to their being fried in vegetable oil and that also egg yolk is highly rich in cholesterol and minerals, therefore frying of the egg-roll leads to an increase in the cholesterol content [6]. Apart from being a concentrated source of energy, fats and oils also provide essential fatty acids necessary for the proper functioning of the human blood, but too much of them may lead to health problems such as overweight, obesity, high blood pressure and heart disease [37].

Carbohydrates are the main source of energy, the energy needs of the individual are the amount of food energy required to compensate for energy expenditure when the size, body composition and level of physical activity are compatible with a lasting state of good health and the maintenance of physical activity that is economically necessary and socially desirable [38]. The percentage carbohydrate content was highest in meat-pie (70.73%) and lowest in puff-puff (4.10%). The value for meat-pie in this study is higher than 55.39 and 60.53% reported by Eke and Elechi [38] and Israel and Samuel [6], respectively. The carbohydrate content of puff-puff (4.10%) is lower than the 65.14% previously reported by Pikuda and Ileleboye [6]. The high content of carbohydrate found in meat-pie could be due to the filling with potatoes as part of the sauce, since potatoes are high in carbohydrate. The percentage ash content of a feedstuff is the inorganic residue remaining after the organic matter

has been destroyed by combustion in the muffle furnace [39]. Egg-roll had the highest ash content of 14.06%, while buns had the lowest ash content of 2.37%. The ash content for egg-roll is also higher than 2.00 and 2.60% reported by Chinaza et al. [34] and Israel and Samuel [30], respectively. This shows that ready-to-eat egg-roll is rich in essential minerals, as the ash content of food is simply a measure of its mineral content, it is not a surprise that egg-roll has the highest ash content because of the egg yolk which is rich in vitamins and minerals [40]. The percentage of crude protein content was highest in meat-pie (4.18%) and lowest in buns (3.14%). The percentage crude protein content for meat-pie in this study was lower than 10.02, 14.28 and 16.77% previously reported [30, 31,38]. This could be as a result of high cost of meat recently which could lead to reduced quantity of meat in the sauce and increased quantity of Irish potatoes. The crude protein content in this study was also lower than 8.69% reported by Adeyeye and Ayoola [31]. It is pertinent to note that buns had the lowest percentage crude protein like in this study. The differences in the values obtained for the parameters in the study and previous studies could be due to biological materials and products' compositions which changes from location to location, batch to batch, and from time to time, these differences may be linked to the kind and source of the raw materials employed as well as the manufacturing method [31, 41].

On the basis of total heterotrophic microbial count, 17% of the snacks were satisfactory, 39% were at the borderlines while 44% of the samples examined were unsatisfactory according to the International Commission on Microbiological Specifications for RTE Snacks. This showed that the microbial quality of a good number of the snacks sold in these various locations are not fit for human consumption since they are above the acceptable microbial limit [42, 43]. Total heterotrophic bacteria count showed that meat-pie had the highest count of  $1.50 \times 10^6$  cfu/g which was higher than  $1.08 \times 10^6$  cfu/g in a previous report by Ogidi et al. [11] but agrees with the  $1.50 \times 10^6$  cfu/g in previous report by Okeke et al. [44]. The microbiological analysis revealed that the number of bacteria counts had a similar trend to the report by Adolf and Azis [45]; with varying index of  $10^3$  to  $10^6$ . Meat-pie count which may be attributed to the meat or vegetables inside the pie and also the nutritional content which provides a good nutrient media that support microbial growth [46]. The high counts also observed in doughnut could be attributed to factors such as improper handling processing, use of contaminated water, cross-contamination from other raw materials, or use of unclean utensils like knives and trays [47, 48, 49].

*Staphylococcus* count revealed that 5(5%) were classified as potentially hazardous, 14(14%) as unsatisfactory, 49(49%) as borderline, 16(16%) had as satisfactory, detection, which means these RTE Snacks food are potentially unhealthy to consume because at a range of  $10^6$  cfu/g. *Staphylococcus enterotoxin* found in them can cause a foodborne outbreak amongst dwellers since they carry virulence genes also pertinent to note is that enterotoxins can also be formed at satisfactory level or marginal level because temperatures used for the shallow frying and baking may not be enough to eradicate SE'S even after the microbe has been eradicated [10, 11, 50]. Presumptive *Staphylococcus aureus* occurrence of 82% was higher than 23.3% reported in a similar work by Ogidi et al. [11], 38.9% reported by Obande et al. [43] and 46% reported by Esemu et al. [51]. Meat-pie had the highest count of  $3.50 \times 10^6$  cfu/g, while egg-roll had the lowest count of  $1.3 \times 10^6$  cfu/g which was higher than  $4.10 \times 10^6$  cfu/g in a previous similar work by Nwachukwu, and Nwaigwe, [52]. The difference in the occurrence rate and count range reported in this study could be due to sample size, improper handling, cross- contamination from unclean equipment during production, and diagnostic method used for identification [11,19]. The significance of *Staphylococcus aureus* count indicates these RTE food snacks have been contaminated [11, 19]. *Staphylococcus aureus* has been one of the most isolated in a previous work by Oje et al. [53].

*Staphylococcus aureus* showed highest resistance to the antibiotics used at 91.18% which is comparable to 91.60% in a previous report by Ogidi et al. [11] but differs from the 57 and 100% reported by Obande et al. [43] and Awanye, and Uwah [42], respectively. The difference in resistance reported could be as a result of the concentration of antibiotics used in a locality, number of samples analyzed [54] and compliance with prescription. Resistance of *S. aureus* to targeted antibiotics was highest against cloxacillin (Penicillin) (91.18%), followed by cefuroxime (Cephalosporins,  $\beta$ -lactam) (82.35%) and the least was against gentamicin (Aminoglycosides) (44.12%) which are all bactericidal. A number of authors have reported varying antibiogram of *S. aureus* isolated from similar foods in China, Nigeria and Ethiopia [11,42, 43, 52, 54, 55]. The

resistance against cloxacillin in this study is comparable to the 87.1 and 100% reported by Obande et al. [43] and Temesgen et al. [55], respectively but higher than the 50.0% reported by Nwachukwu and Nwaigwe[52]. The resistance against augmentin is lower than the 77.1 and 83.3% by Obande et al. [43] and Ogidi et al. [11]. The 44.12% resistance against gentamycin in this study is higher than the 0, 0.8 and 14.3% reported by Nwachukwu and Nwaigwe[52] and Xing et al. [54], Temesgen et al. [55], Obande et al. [43], respectively. The value is however, agrees with the 41.7% reported by Ogidi et al. [11] but lower than 82.0% reported by Awanye and Uwah[42]. The 73.53% resistance against erythromycin in this present study is comparable to the 70.0 and 78.1% reported by Obande et al. [43] and Xing et al. [54], respectively. The value is however, higher than the 0, 28.6 and 62.5 % reported by Nwachukwu and Nwaigwe[52], Temesgen et al. [55] and Ogidi et al. [11], respectively. The 59.1% resistance of *S. aureus* against ceftazidime is less than the 73.53% obtained in this study. It is believed that more than 80% of staphylococcal isolates now produce penicillinase regardless of their origin [56]. This may be attributed to misuse of antibiotics towards illness and poses a health concern to consumers, also food products and environment could be directly or indirectly involved in the transfer of resistant genes due to several human activities such as use of antibiotics in farming [9]. This class of antibiotics used were able to penetrate cell membrane and damage the nucleic acid of *S. aureus* [57] and could be effective in treating *S. aureus* in the area of study because gentamicin comes in injection form and are hardly abused and also most person have a phobia for injection.

Multiple drug resistance index is a very helpful tools in checking health risk and also the degree of antibiotic resistance. Isolated *S. aureus* showed different type of resistance patterns which connotes they are phenotypically diversified, which ranged from 0.13 (resistant to 1 antibiotic) to 0.65 (resistant to 5 antibiotics), which was lower than 0.25-0.87 multiple drug resistance index in a similar work by Ogidi et al. [11]. The difference could be as a result of location and class of antibiotics commonly used in the area. Multi-drug resistant index (MARI)  $\geq 0.3$  indicated that the isolates originated from an environment where antibiotics were frequently used.

In this study *S. aureus* isolates showed the presence of virulent genes for *SEA* (enterotoxin A) and *SEB* (enterotoxin B). Nine isolates produced the expected band of 250bp with *SEA* while 3 produced the band of 400bp with *SEB* out of 34 isolates. *SEA* (enterotoxin A) was the most prevalent enterotoxin in this study. This agrees with the previous work of Jassim and Kandala (2020) who out of 49 isolates of *S. aureus* from different food sources detected 9 positives to *SEA* and 7 *SEB*. It has been documented in most countries of food borne outbreaks that *SEA* is the most prevalent enterotoxin isolated. The prevalence of *S. aureus* and its virulent gene was highest in RTE (Snacks) meat-pie and eggroll which agrees with previous findings that RTE foods of animal origin do have a higher prevalence of *SEA* over *SEB* most times [58]. The correlation of the selected food type to enterotoxin A showed a difference with significance of  $p < 0.05$  using Pearson chi-squared (R) test which also agrees with the previous work of Jassim and Kandala [59] and connotes that RTE Snacks food are vehicles for transmission of resistant *S. aureus* which also harbor virulence genes.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Microbiologically, ready-to-eat snack food from the different locations in Obio/Akpor L.G.A. were majorly at unsatisfactory level as classified by International Commission on Microbiological Specifications on RTE Snacks and *S. aureus*, the superbug was isolated and characterized from ready-to-eat snacks food obtained which calls for a great concern to public health. *S. aureus* isolates showed greater degree of resistant to  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics like cloxacillin, a greater degree of susceptibility to aminoglycosides like gentamicin and most were multi-drug resistant. Enterotoxin A and B were detected from the *S. aureus* isolated from the RTE snacks food samples meaning that they served as a harbour and vehicle in transmission of multi-drug resistant *S. aureus* with enterotoxin A and B virulent genes.

#### REFERENCES

1. Tsang D. Microbiological guidelines for ready-to-eat food. Road and Environmental Hygiene Department, Hongkong. 2002;115-116.

2. Brennan MA, Derbyshire E, Tiwari BK, Brennan CS. Ready-to-eat snack products: the role of extrusion technology in developing consumer acceptable and nutritious snacks. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* 2013; 48(5): 893–902.
3. FSA. *E. coli* O157 control of cross-contamination guidance for food business operators and enforcement authorities. Retrieved from <http://www.food.gov.uk/multimedia/pdfs/publication/ecoliguide0211.pdf>. (Accessed on 1st July, 2021). 2011.
4. Bennett R, Monday S. *Staphylococcus aureus*. In: Marianne D. Miliotis and Jeffrey W. Bier (eds.), *International handbook of foodborne pathogens*. Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, NY. 2003; 53-69.
5. WHO. Basic steps to improve safety of street-vended food. International Food Safety Authorities Network (INFOSAN) Information Note No. 3/2010-Safety of street-vended food. Retrieved from [http://www.who.int/foodsafety/fs\\_management/No\\_03\\_StreetFood\\_Jun10\\_en.pdf](http://www.who.int/foodsafety/fs_management/No_03_StreetFood_Jun10_en.pdf). (Accessed 15 March, 2022). 2010.
6. Pikuda OO, Ilelaboye NOA. Proximate composition of street snacks purchased from selected motor parks in Lagos. *Pak. J. Nutr.* 2009; 8(10): 1657-1660.
7. WHO. Food Safety: What you should know. SEARO Library, World Health Organization, Regional Office for South-East Asia, Indraprastha Estate, Mahatma Gandhi Marg, New Delhi 110002, India. 2015.
8. CSPI. Antibiotic resistance in foodborne outbreaks, Washington DC. Retrieved from: <https://cspi.net.org/resource/antibiotic-resistance>. (Accessed on 15th March, 2022). 2013.
9. Economou V, Gousia P, Kansouzidou A, Sakkas H, Karanis P, Papadopoulou C. Prevalence, antimicrobial resistance and relation to indicator and pathogenic microorganisms of *Salmonella enterica* isolated from surface waters within an agricultural landscape. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health.* 2013; 216: 435– 444.
10. Adams MR, Moss MO. *Food Microbiology*. 4th Edition. New Age International, New Delhi. 2009; 205- 207.
11. Ogidi OC, Oyetayo VO, Akinyele BJ. Microbial Quality and Antibiotic Sensitivity Pattern of Isolated Microorganisms from Street Foods Sold in Akure Metropolis, Nigeria. *Jordan J. Biol. Sci.* 2016; 9(4):227-234.
12. Hennekinne JA, Brun V, De Buyser ML, Dupuis A, Ostyn A, Dragacci S. Innovative application of mass spectrometry for the characterization of staphylococcal enterotoxins involved in food poisoning outbreaks. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2009; 75(3): 882-884.
13. Balaban N, Rasooly A. Staphylococcal enterotoxins. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 2000; 61(1): 1-10.
14. Pinchuk IV, Beswick EJ, Reyes VE. Staphylococcal enterotoxins. *Toxins.* 2010; 2(8): 2177-2197.
15. Fisher EL, Otto M, Cheung GY. Basis of virulence in enterotoxin-mediated staphylococcal food poisoning. *Front. Microbiol.* 2018; 9(436): 1-18.
16. Coates T, Bax R, Coates A. Nasal decolonization of *Staphylococcus aureus* with mupirocin: strengths, weaknesses and future prospects. *J. Antimicrob. Chemoth.* 2009; 64(1): 9-15.
17. Obio/Akpor Embassy. Brief History of Obio/Akpor Local Government Area. Retrieved from <http://obioakporembassy.com/index.php> (Accessed on 10th December, 2021). 2012.
18. AOAC Official Methods of Analysis —Association of Official Analytical Chemist. 18th ed. Washington, DC; Section 12.1.7; 968.08. 2005; 4.1.28.

19. Odu NN, Assor P. Microbiological analysis of ready to eat food (cooked rice and beans) sold among different restaurant in University of Port Harcourt, Port Harcourt, Nigeria. Acad. Arena. 2013; 5(1): 62-66.
20. Todar K. *Staphylococcus aureus* and Staphylococcal disease. Todar's online textbook of bacteriology. [Internet]. Retrieved from: <http://www.textbookofbacteriology.net/staph.html>. (Accessed on March 15th, 2022). 2008.
21. Ghaderpour A, MohdNasori KN, Chew LL, Chong VC, Thong KL, Chaj LC. Detection of multiple potentially pathogenic bacteria in Matang mangrove estuaries, Malaysia. Marine Poll. Bull.2014; 83:324-330.
22. Cheeshrough M. Microbial test in: District Laboratory Practice in Tropical Countries Part 2. Cambridge University press U.K. 2002; 123-140.
23. Shah M. Molecular pathogenesis of *S. aureus* and other staphylococci. J. Appl. Bacteriol. 2003; 59: 207-221.
24. Sandel MK, McKillip JL. Virulence and recovery of *Staphylococcus aureus* relevant to the food industry using improvements on traditional approaches. Food Contr. 2004; 15(1): 5-10.
25. Oliveira CFD, Paim TGDS, Reiter KC, Rieger A, D'azevedo PA. Evaluation of four different DNA extraction methods in coagulase-negative staphylococci clinical isolates. Revista do Instituto de Medicina Tropical de São Paulo. 2014; 56: 29-33.
26. Sharma NK, Rees CE, Dodd CE. Development of a single-reaction multiplex PCR toxin typing assay for *Staphylococcus aureus* strains. Appl. Environ.Microbiol. 2000; 66(4): 1347-1353.
27. Jerraud S, Mougél C, Thioulouse J, Lina G, Maugenier H, Forey F, Nesme X, Etienn J, Vandenesch F. Relationships between *Staphylococcus aureus* genetic background, virulence factors, agrgroups (Alleles), and human disease. Infect.Immu. 2002; 70(2): 631–641.
28. Adame-Gómez R, Castro-Alarcón N, Vences-Velázquez A, Toribio-Jiménez J, Pérez-Valdespino A, Leyva-Vázquez MA, Ramírez-Peralta A. Genetic diversity and virulence factors of *S. aureus* isolated from food, humans, and animals. Int. J.Microbiol.2020; 5:1-10.
29. CLSI, C. Performance standards for antimicrobial disk susceptibility tests; approved standard. CLSI document M02-A11, 2012; 950.
30. Israel OG, Samuel BC. Nutrient composition and microbiological evaluation of vended street foods in parts of Lagos State, Nigeria. Asian Food Sci. J. 2020; 17(1): 1-14.
31. Adeyeye A, Ayoola PB. The Nutritional Composition of some Street Snacks in Nigeria. Adv. Food Energy Security. 2013;1-4.
32. Ajatta MA, Akinola SA, Osundahunsi OF. Proximate, functional and pasting properties of composite flours made from wheat, breadfruit and cassava starch. Appl. Trop. Agric. 2016; 21(3): 158-165.
33. Hossain S, Shishir MRI, Saifullah M, Kayshar MS, Tonmoy SW, Rahman A, Shams-Ud-Din M. Incorporation of coconut flour in plain cake and investigation of the effect of sugar and baking powder on its baking quality. Int. J. Food Nutr. 2016; 5(1): 31-38.
34. Udezo PC, Onigbinde AO, Adaramola FB, Aleshinloye AO, Jegede DO, Ogunnowo AA, Shokunbi OS and Adewumi AG. Nutritional investigation of three commonly consumed fast foods in two major fast-food restaurants in Lagos State, Nigeria. Chem. Int. 2019; 5(1): 81-86.

35. Olusanya JO. The nutrient composition of all vegetable-based snacks. *Nig. J. Nutr. Sci.* 1991; 12(1): 18–19.
36. Bello MO, Falade OS, Adewusi SRA, Olawore NO. Studies of some lesser-known Nigerian fruits. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 2008; 7(1): 3972–3979.
37. Afolabi WAO, Oyawoye OO, Sanni SA, Onabanjo OO. Proximate and cholesterol composition of selected fast foods sold in Nigeria. *Nig. Food J.* 2013; 31(1): 70–76.
38. Eke MO, Elechi JO. Food safety and quality evaluation of street vended meat pies sold in Lafia Metropolis, Nasarawa state, Nigeria. *Int. J. Sci. Res. Biol Sci.* 2021; 8(1):88-98.
39. AOAC. Official Methods of Analysis. 15<sup>th</sup> Edition, Association of Official Analytical Chemist, Washington DC. 1990
40. Kiin-Kabari DB, Eke-Ejiofor J, Giami SY. Functional and pasting properties of wheat/plantain flours enriched with bambara groundnut protein concentrate. *Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr. Eng.* 2015; 5(2): 75-81.
41. Paul AA, Southgate DAT. McCance and Widdowson: The composition of foods 5<sup>th</sup> Edition. HMSO, London. 1985.
42. Awanye AM, Uwah EU. Prevalence and antibiotic resistance profile of food-borne pathogens obtained from street-vended food sold within a university campus in Southern Nigeria. *World J. Pharm. Res.* 2020; 9(4): 133- 144.
43. Obande GA, Umeh EU, Azua ET, Chuku A, Adikwu P. Incidence and antibiotic susceptibility pattern of *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from meat pie sold in a Nigerian North Central town. *Janaki Med. College J. Med. Sci.* 2018; 6(1): 21-28.
44. Okeke OP, Yusuf KO, Ononye BU, Udeh NP, Ndudim GC. Evaluation of the Microbial Contamination of Some Snacks: A Case Study of Lagos Mainland, Lagos State, Nigeria. *Evaluation.* 2021; 4(2): 37-45.
45. Adolf JNP, Azis BS. Microbiological status of various foods served in elementary school based on social economic status differences in Karawaci Region, Tangerang District – Indonesia. *Int. Food Res. J.* 2012; 19(1): 65-70.
46. Phillips M. Analysis of Microbial Hazards related to time/temperature control of foods for safety. *Com. Rev. Food Sci. Food Safety.* 2003; 2: 33-35.
47. Bryan FL, Tuefel P, Rooh S, Qadar F, Riaz S, Malik Z. Hazards and critical control points of food preparation and storage in homes in a village and a town in Pakistan. *J. Food Prot.* 2002; 3: 301-333.
48. Khali LGB, Mazhar KB. Flies and water as reservoirs for bacterial pathogens in urban and rural areas in and around Lahore, Pakistan. *Epidemiol. Infect.* 2005; 113: 435-444.
49. Oranusi S, Omagbemi F, Eni AO. Microbiological safety evaluation of snacks sold in fast food shops in Ota, Ogun State, Nigeria. *Res. J. Biol. Sci.* 2011; 6(7):309-313.
50. Aycicek H, Aksoy A, Saygi S. Determination of aflatoxin levels in some dairy and food products which consumed in Ankara, Turkey. *Food Contr.* 2005; 16(3): 263-266.
51. Esemu SN, Njoh ST, Ndip LM, Kenek NK, Kfusi JA, Njukeng AP. Ready-to-eat foods: A potential vehicle for spread of coagulase-positive staphylococci and antibiotic-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in Buea municipality, South West Cameroon. *BioRxiv.* 2021; 8(1): 88-98.

52. Nwachukwu E, Nwaigwe UV. Occurrence of *Staphylococcus aureus* in meat pie and eggroll sold in Umuahia Metropolis, Nigeria. *Int J. Microbiol. Immunol. Res.* 2013; 1(4): 052-055.
53. Oje OJ, Ajibade VA, Fajilade OT, Ajenifuja OA. Microbiological analysis of ready-to-eat (TRE) foods vended in mobile outlet catering units from Nigeria. *J. Adv. Food Sci. Technol.* 2018; 5: 15-19.
54. Xing X, Li G, Zhang W, Wang X, Xia X, Yang B, Meng J. Prevalence, antimicrobial susceptibility, and enterotoxin gene detection of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates in ready-to-eat foods in Shaanxi, People's Republic of China. *J. Food Prot.* 2014; 77(2), 331-334.
55. Temesgen E, Haimanot T, Derese D, Gebre K. Bacteriological quality of street foods and antimicrobial resistance of isolates in Hawassa, Ethiopia. *Ethiop J Health Sci.* 2016; 26(6): 533-542.
56. Pantosti A, Sanchini A, Monaco M. Mechanisms of antibiotic resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Future Microbiol.* 2007; 2(3): 323–334.
57. Prescott M, Harley P, Kian D. *Microbiology*, 6th edition McGraw hill New York publishers USA. 2005;910.
58. Ulusoy BH, Sancar BC, Ozturk M. Prevalence of staphylococcal enterotoxins in ready-to-eat foods sold in Istanbul. *J. Food Prot.* 2017; 80(10): 1734-1736.
59. Jassim SA, Kandala, NJ. Molecular detection of enterotoxin genes of multi-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from different sources of food. *Iraqi J. Sci.* 2021; 62(1): 61-67.