



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The introduction should be more scientific2. the description of the RTE samples is very limited, must be improved. are those types of RTE products most typical for the market?3. the tables/figures lack in statistics4. what law regulations and microbiological criteria were used by the Authors in results analysis?	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The introduction has been improved upon consistent with previous publications.2. This has been done.3. The is evident in Tables 1 and 8 where it is appropriate.4. The Microbiological Guidelines for Food (For ready-to-eat food in general and specific food items). Center for Food Safety (2014).